

From Broué's conjectures to questions in braid group theory

François Digne

Université de Picardie

July 4th 2007

Background

Aim: define an action of a cyclotomic Hecke algebra on the cohomology of some Deligne-Lusztig variety via the action of the associated braid group on the variety itself.

Background

Aim: define an action of a cyclotomic Hecke algebra on the cohomology of some Deligne-Lusztig variety via the action of the associated braid group on the variety itself.

Notation

- \mathbf{G} is a reductive connected algebraic group with rational structure given by the Frobenius endomorphism F
- $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B}$ rational maximal torus and Borel subgroup.
- $W = N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{T}$ Weyl group; (W, S) is a Coxeter system.
- For $I \subset S$, define \mathbf{P}_I standard parabolic subgroup, \mathbf{L}_I standard Levi subgroup and W_I parabolic subgroup of W .

Deligne-Lusztig varieties

For simplicity we will assume that \mathbf{G} is split.

Deligne-Lusztig varieties

For simplicity we will assume that \mathbf{G} is split.

Definition

For $I \subset S$, $w \in N_W(W_I)$ let $X(I, w) = \{\mathbf{P} \mid (\mathbf{P}, F(\mathbf{P})) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_I)\}$.

Note that only the coset $W_I w$ matters so we can choose for w the shortest coset representative. For such a w we have $I^w = I$ and $\dim(X(w, I)) = l(w)$.

Deligne-Lusztig varieties

For simplicity we will assume that \mathbf{G} is split.

Definition

For $I \subset S$, $w \in N_W(W_I)$ let $X(I, w) = \{\mathbf{P} \mid (\mathbf{P}, F(\mathbf{P})) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_I)\}$.

Note that only the coset $W_I w$ matters so we can choose for w the shortest coset representative. For such a w we have $I^w = I$ and $\dim(X(w, I)) = l(w)$.

Notation

For subsets I and J of S and $w \in W$ we write $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ to indicate that the pair of parabolic subgroups (\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) is conjugate to $((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.

Lehrer-Springer elements

The pairs (I, w) involved in Broué's conjectures are such that w has a maximal $\exp(2i\pi/d)$ -eigenspace V_ζ for some integer d and $C_W(V_\zeta) = W_I$.
(We have put $\zeta = \exp(2i\pi/d)$)

Lehrer-Springer elements

The pairs (I, w) involved in Broué's conjectures are such that w has a maximal $\exp(2i\pi/d)$ -eigenspace V_ζ for some integer d and $C_W(V_\zeta) = W_I$. (We have put $\zeta = \exp(2i\pi/d)$)

These elements were studied by Lehrer and Springer. In particular they proved

Proposition

If w is as above, then $N_W(V_\zeta)/C_W(V_\zeta)$ is a complex reflection group on V_ζ .

Lehrer-Springer elements

The pairs (I, w) involved in Broué's conjectures are such that w has a maximal $\exp(2i\pi/d)$ -eigenspace V_ζ for some integer d and $C_W(V_\zeta) = W_I$. (We have put $\zeta = \exp(2i\pi/d)$)

These elements were studied by Lehrer and Springer. In particular they proved

Proposition

If w is as above, then $N_W(V_\zeta)/C_W(V_\zeta)$ is a complex reflection group on V_ζ .

The associated braid group is denoted by $B(w)$. We want to make $B(w)$ act on $X(I, w)$, this action inducing an action on the cohomology of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of W with predicted parameters.

Choice of w

Which w to choose in the W -conjugacy class of the coset $W_I w$ so that (in particular) $X(I, w)$ has the right dimension $\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d}$?

Choice of w

Which w to choose in the W -conjugacy class of the coset $W_I w$ so that (in particular) $X(I, w)$ has the right dimension $\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d}$?

If for $J \subset S$ we denote by w_J the longest element in W_J we have

$$\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d} = \frac{2l(w_S w_I)}{d}.$$

Choice of w

Which w to choose in the W -conjugacy class of the coset $W_I w$ so that (in particular) $X(I, w)$ has the right dimension $\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d}$?

If for $J \subset S$ we denote by w_J the longest element in W_J we have

$$\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d} = \frac{2l(w_S w_I)}{d}.$$

If we introduce the braid group B associated to W and the canonical lifting $w \mapsto \mathbf{w}$ from W to B , this can be expressed as

$$\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d} = \frac{l(\pi \pi_I^{-1})}{d} \text{ where } \pi_I = \mathbf{w}_I^2 \text{ and } \pi = \mathbf{w}_S^2.$$

Choice of w

Which w to choose in the W -conjugacy class of the coset $W_I w$ so that (in particular) $X(I, w)$ has the right dimension $\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d}$?

If for $J \subset S$ we denote by w_J the longest element in W_J we have

$$\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d} = \frac{2l(w_S w_I)}{d}.$$

If we introduce the braid group B associated to W and the canonical lifting $w \mapsto \mathbf{w}$ from W to B , this can be expressed as

$$\frac{|\Phi - \Phi_I|}{d} = \frac{l(\pi \pi_I^{-1})}{d} \text{ where } \pi_I = \mathbf{w}_I^2 \text{ and } \pi = \mathbf{w}_S^2.$$

Theorem

In the conjugacy class of $W_I w$ as above, there exists always a $W_J v$ such that $\mathbf{v}^d = \pi \pi_J^{-1}$.

$$\text{In particular } l(v) = \frac{l(\pi \pi_J^{-1})}{d}$$

Conversely

Theorem

If w is an I -reduced element such that $I^w = I$ and $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_I^{-1}$, and if there is no pair $(J \subset I, \mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{v})$ which the same properties as (I, \mathbf{w}) then w is a Lehrer-Springer element (ie, the ζ -eigenspace of w is maximal).

(I -reduced means shortest coset representative).

Conversely

Theorem

If w is an I -reduced element such that $I^w = I$ and $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_I^{-1}$, and if there is no pair $(J \subset I, \mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{v})$ which the same properties as (I, \mathbf{w}) then w is a Lehrer-Springer element (ie, the ζ -eigenspace of w is maximal).

(I -reduced means shortest coset representative).

So we want to define an action of $B(w)$ on $X(I, w)$ when \mathbf{w} is as in the above theorem.

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$;

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$.

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$. Introduce \mathbf{P}_1 :

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$. Introduce \mathbf{P}_1 :

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, I} F(\mathbf{P})$$

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- *Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- *Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$.*

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$. Introduce \mathbf{P}_1 :

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, I} F(\mathbf{P}) \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} F(\mathbf{P}_1)$$

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$. Introduce \mathbf{P}_1 :

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, I} F(\mathbf{P}) \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} F(\mathbf{P}_1)$$

Do we get a morphism $D_{w_1} : \mathbf{P} \mapsto \mathbf{P}_1$ from $\mathbf{X}(I, w_1 w_2)$ to $\mathbf{X}(J, w_2 w_1)$?

Recall that $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, J} \mathbf{Q}$ means that $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) \sim_{\mathbf{G}} ((\mathbf{P}_I)^w, \mathbf{P}_J)$.

In particular a Deligne-Lusztig variety is $\{\mathbf{P} \mid \mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})\}$ with $I^w = I$.
To define operators on these varieties we use

Proposition

Let $I, J, K \subset S$ and $w_1, w_2 \in W$ be such that $I^{w_1} = J$, $J^{w_2} = K$, with w_1 and w_2 shortest coset representatives and $l(w_1) + l(w_2) = l(w_1 w_2)$; then

- Given $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ there is a unique \mathbf{P}_1 such that

$$\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$$
- Conversely if $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} \mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w_1 w_2, K} \mathbf{Q}.$

Let $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{I, w, I} F(\mathbf{P})$; assume $w = w_1 w_2$ as above with $K = I$. Introduce \mathbf{P}_1 :

$$\mathbf{P}_1 \xrightarrow{J, w_2, I} F(\mathbf{P}) \xrightarrow{I, w_1, J} F(\mathbf{P}_1)$$

Do we get a morphism $D_{w_1} : \mathbf{P} \mapsto \mathbf{P}_1$ from $\mathbf{X}(I, w_1 w_2)$ to $\mathbf{X}(J, w_2 w_1)$?
Only if $l(w_2 w_1) = l(w_2) + l(w_1)$.

More generally we can consider varieties associated to sequences of elements in W . For a sequence (w_1, \dots, w_r) of elements of W and a sequence (I_1, \dots, I_r) of subsets of S such that $I_j^{w_j} = I_{j+1}$ and $I_r^{w_r} = I_1$ we define

$$X(I, (w_1, \dots, w_r)) = \{(\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_r) \mid \mathbf{P}_j \xrightarrow{I_j, w_j, I_{j+1}} \mathbf{P}_{j+1}\}$$

where we have put $I = I_1 = I_{r+1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{r+1} = F(\mathbf{P}_1)$.

More generally we can consider varieties associated to sequences of elements in W . For a sequence (w_1, \dots, w_r) of elements of W and a sequence (l_1, \dots, l_r) of subsets of S such that $l_j^{w_j} = l_{j+1}$ and $l_r^{w_r} = l_1$ we define

$$X(l, (w_1, \dots, w_r)) = \{(\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_r) \mid \mathbf{P}_j \xrightarrow{l_j, w_j, l_{j+1}} \mathbf{P}_{j+1}\}$$

where we have put $l = l_1 = l_{r+1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{r+1} = F(\mathbf{P}_1)$.

So we can define a morphism of varieties sending

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_1 &\xrightarrow{l_1, w_1, l_2} \mathbf{P}_2 \xrightarrow{l_2, w_2, l_3} \mathbf{P}_3 \dots \mathbf{P}_r \xrightarrow{l_r, w_r, l_{r+1}} F(\mathbf{P}_1) \\ \text{to } \mathbf{P}_2 &\xrightarrow{l_2, w_2, l_3} \mathbf{P}_3 \dots \mathbf{P}_r \xrightarrow{l_r, w_r, l_{r+1}} F(\mathbf{P}_1) \xrightarrow{l_1, w_1, l_2} F(\mathbf{P}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (After Deligne)

The variety $X(I, (w_1, \dots, w_r))$ depends only on the element $b = \mathbf{w}_1 \dots \mathbf{w}_r \in B^+$.

We write $X(\mathbf{I}, b)$ for $b \in B^+$ such that $\mathbf{I}^b = \mathbf{I}$ and b is \mathbf{I} -reduced in the sense that it has no divisor in the parabolic submonoid $B_{\mathbf{I}}^+$ (\mathbf{I} is the canonical lifting of I in B).

Theorem (After Deligne)

The variety $X(I, (w_1, \dots, w_r))$ depends only on the element $b = \mathbf{w}_1 \dots \mathbf{w}_r \in B^+$.

We write $X(\mathbf{I}, b)$ for $b \in B^+$ such that $\mathbf{I}^b = \mathbf{I}$ and b is \mathbf{I} -reduced in the sense that it has no divisor in the parabolic submonoid $B_{\mathbf{I}}^+$ (\mathbf{I} is the canonical lifting of I in B).

For b and \mathbf{I} as above, if $b_1 \in B^+$ left divides b and $\mathbf{I}^{b_1} = \mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$ we get a morphism $D_{b_1} : X(\mathbf{I}, b) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{J}, b_1^{-1}bb_1)$.

So we have an action corresponding to some conjugations in B .

Theorem (After Deligne)

The variety $X(I, (w_1, \dots, w_r))$ depends only on the element $b = \mathbf{w}_1 \dots \mathbf{w}_r \in B^+$.

We write $X(\mathbf{I}, b)$ for $b \in B^+$ such that $\mathbf{I}^b = \mathbf{I}$ and b is \mathbf{I} -reduced in the sense that it has no divisor in the parabolic submonoid $B_{\mathbf{I}}^+$ (\mathbf{I} is the canonical lifting of I in B).

For b and \mathbf{I} as above, if $b_1 \in B^+$ left divides b and $\mathbf{I}^{b_1} = \mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$ we get a morphism $D_{b_1} : X(\mathbf{I}, b) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{J}, b_1^{-1}bb_1)$.

So we have an action corresponding to some conjugations in B .

Using this, if we start from $X(I, \mathbf{w})$ and compose conjugations we can get an action of some elements of $C_B(\mathbf{w})$ which normalize \mathbf{I} and are \mathbf{I} -reduced.

Theorem (After Deligne)

The variety $X(I, (w_1, \dots, w_r))$ depends only on the element $b = \mathbf{w}_1 \dots \mathbf{w}_r \in B^+$.

We write $X(\mathbf{I}, b)$ for $b \in B^+$ such that $\mathbf{I}^b = \mathbf{I}$ and b is \mathbf{I} -reduced in the sense that it has no divisor in the parabolic submonoid $B_{\mathbf{I}}^+$ (\mathbf{I} is the canonical lifting of I in B).

For b and \mathbf{I} as above, if $b_1 \in B^+$ left divides b and $\mathbf{I}^{b_1} = \mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$ we get a morphism $D_{b_1} : X(\mathbf{I}, b) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{J}, b_1^{-1}bb_1)$.

So we have an action corresponding to some conjugations in B .

Using this, if we start from $X(I, \mathbf{w})$ and compose conjugations we can get an action of some elements of $C_B(\mathbf{w})$ which normalize \mathbf{I} and are \mathbf{I} -reduced.

Notation

We write $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{I})$ for the subgroup of B generated by \mathbf{I} -reduced elements in $C_B(\mathbf{w}) \cap N_B(\mathbf{I})$.

This leads to the following questions:

This leads to the following questions:

Question (1)

Let w be a Lehrer-Springer element such that $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_l^{-1}$; what is the relation between $B(w)$ and $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$?

This leads to the following questions:

Question (1)

Let w be a Lehrer-Springer element such that $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_l^{-1}$; what is the relation between $B(w)$ and $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$?

When $I = \emptyset$ by a recent work of Bessis they are isomorphic (case of a regular element).

This leads to the following questions:

Question (1)

Let w be a Lehrer-Springer element such that $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_l^{-1}$; what is the relation between $B(w)$ and $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$?

When $I = \emptyset$ by a recent work of Bessis they are isomorphic (case of a regular element). In general: we have a natural morphism $N_W(V_\zeta)/C_W(V_\zeta) \hookrightarrow N_W(I) \cap C_W(w)$ whose image consists of those elements which are I -reduced. We conjecture that this morphism can be lifted to $B(w) \simeq B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$.

This leads to the following questions:

Question (1)

Let w be a Lehrer-Springer element such that $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_l^{-1}$; what is the relation between $B(w)$ and $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$?

When $I = \emptyset$ by a recent work of Bessis they are isomorphic (case of a regular element). In general: we have a natural morphism $N_W(V_\zeta)/C_W(V_\zeta) \hookrightarrow N_W(I) \cap C_W(w)$ whose image consists of those elements which are I -reduced. We conjecture that this morphism can be lifted to $B(w) \simeq B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$.

Question (2)

Describe $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$ for such a \mathbf{w} .

This leads to the following questions:

Question (1)

Let w be a Lehrer-Springer element such that $\mathbf{w}^d = \pi\pi_l^{-1}$; what is the relation between $B(w)$ and $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$?

When $I = \emptyset$ by a recent work of Bessis they are isomorphic (case of a regular element). In general: we have a natural morphism $N_W(V_\zeta)/C_W(V_\zeta) \hookrightarrow N_W(I) \cap C_W(w)$ whose image consists of those elements which are I -reduced. We conjecture that this morphism can be lifted to $B(w) \simeq B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$.

Question (2)

Describe $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$ for such a \mathbf{w} .

More precisely

Question (2')

Is the group $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{l})$ generated by the above conjugations?

Introducing categories

We have a category whose objects are pairs (\mathbf{J}, b) , with $\mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$, $b \in B^+$, b being \mathbf{J} -reduced and satisfying $\mathbf{J}^b = \mathbf{J}$. Elementary morphisms from (\mathbf{J}, b) to (\mathbf{K}, b') are elements $b_1 \in B^+$ such that b_1 divides b in B^+ , $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{J}^{b_1}$ and $b' = b_1^{-1}bb_1$.

Introducing categories

We have a category whose objects are pairs (\mathbf{J}, b) , with $\mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$, $b \in B^+$, b being \mathbf{J} -reduced and satisfying $\mathbf{J}^b = \mathbf{J}$. Elementary morphisms from (\mathbf{J}, b) to (\mathbf{K}, b') are elements $b_1 \in B^+$ such that b_1 divides b in B^+ , $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{J}^{b_1}$ and $b' = b_1^{-1}bb_1$.

The endomorphisms of (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}) in this category are elements of $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{I})$.

Introducing categories

We have a category whose objects are pairs (\mathbf{J}, b) , with $\mathbf{J} \subset \mathbf{S}$, $b \in B^+$, b being \mathbf{J} -reduced and satisfying $\mathbf{J}^b = \mathbf{J}$. Elementary morphisms from (\mathbf{J}, b) to (\mathbf{K}, b') are elements $b_1 \in B^+$ such that b_1 divides b in B^+ , $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{J}^{b_1}$ and $b' = b_1^{-1}bb_1$.

The endomorphisms of (\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w}) in this category are elements of $B(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{I})$. Using the properties of the above category we prove that question 2' has a positive answer.

The main property is that the category is a “Garside” category (ie has similar property of divisibility as the braid monoid).

The concept of Garside category comes mainly from Krammer and Bessis. Bessis has used these categories to answer question (1) (for I empty) giving $B(w) \simeq C_B(\mathbf{w})$ for a regular w .

epilogue

Besides answering question 1 much more is left to be done:

- (1) Prove that the action on cohomology factorizes through the predicted Hecke algebra.

epilogue

Besides answering question 1 much more is left to be done:

- (1) Prove that the action on cohomology factorizes through the predicted Hecke algebra.
- (2) Prove that this gives the full endomorphism algebra of the cohomology viewed as a representation of \mathbf{G}^F .

Besides answering question 1 much more is left to be done:

- (1) Prove that the action on cohomology factorizes through the predicted Hecke algebra.
- (2) Prove that this gives the full endomorphism algebra of the cohomology viewed as a representation of \mathbf{G}^F .

Not much is known except when $I = \emptyset$. In this case the main results are positive answers

- to (1) for Coxeter elements (Lusztig 1976), for $w = w_S$ (D.-Michel-Rouquier 2006), for type A_n and B_n (D.-Michel 2006).
- to (2) for Coxeter elements (Lusztig) and for n -th roots of π in type A_n (D.-M.).