(joint works with P. Garnier, Y. Mammeri, G. Sadaka (Amiens))

# (very) weakly damped KdV equations

## J-P. CHEHAB<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LAMFA, UMR 7352, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France (jean-paul.chehab@u-picardie.fr)

Séminaire, Poitiers, March, 17, 2016

# Outline

- Motivation
- 2 A model of Damped KdV Equations
  - Construction and Property
  - Damping Properties

# Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties

- Space semi-discretization
- Time semidiscretization
- L<sup>2</sup> stability and damping properties of the schemes

# 4 Numerical Results

- Accuracy of the schemes
- Homogeneous Equation
- Non Homogeneous Equation
- Comparison of various dampings
- A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping
- Preventing the Blow up



Conclusion and Outlook



A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

## Introduction

### Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV)

Model of one-way propagation of small amplitude and long-wavelength wave.

$$u_t+u_x+u_{xxx}+u^p u_x=0.$$

 $(p = 1: \text{ KdV}; p \ge 2: \text{ GKdV}))$ 

### Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation (BBM)

Regularized version of KdV equation

$$u_t+u_x-u_{txx}+uu_x=0.$$

#### Problem

Modelling natural phenomena needs to take into account damping effects

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

## Damped KdV equations

$$u_t + \alpha_1 u_x + \alpha_2 u_{xxx} + \alpha_3 u u_x + \alpha_4 L[u] = 0 \text{ (or } f(x))$$
$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega, \alpha \in [0, 2], \text{ and } t > 0$$
Operator  $L[u]$  is a damping operator when  $\int_{\Omega} L[u] u dx \ge 0$  (it makes decrease

the  $L^2$  norm in time since  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d ||u||}{dt} + \int_{\Omega} L[u] u dx = 0$ . Damping KdV models:  $L[u] = |D|^{\alpha} u$ , where  $|D| = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ .

### Modeling

- Ott-Sudan (1970):  $L = \gamma \cdot Id$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  (Landau damping for ion acoustic wave)
- Dutykh (2007):  $L = -\gamma \cdot \partial_x^2$ ,  $\alpha = 2$  (dissipative Tsunami)

We look to the behavior of the solutions for t large. When  $L = |D|^{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  parabolic-like behavior.

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

# Weak damping

### When $L = \gamma Id$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{T}$ : WEAK DAMPING

### Asymptotic behavior

- Goubet (2000, 2002) and Ghidaglia (1988, 1994): L = γ · Id, α = 0. Regularity of the global attractor, Asymptotic smoothing. Finite dimensional attractor which is in a more regular space than the initial data: this is the asymptotic regularization property
- Rosa-Cabral (2004, numerical study) :  $L = \gamma \cdot Id$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}$ Periodic solutions in times
- Vento (2008): mathematical analysis with  $\alpha \in [0, 2]$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  (Cauchy Pb and asymptotic behavior)

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook, Annex

Building an "economical" damping

• The derivation of KdV equation is valid to capture low frequencial phenomena

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

Building an "economical" damping

• The derivation of KdV equation is valid to capture low frequencial phenomena (think in frequencies !!)

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

Building an "economical" damping

- The derivation of KdV equation is valid to capture low frequencial phenomena (think in frequencies !!)
- Idea : build the damping as a high-pass filter

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

Building an "economical" damping

- The derivation of KdV equation is valid to capture low frequencial phenomena (think in frequencies !!)
- Idea : build the damping as a high-pass filter
- The previous dampings are no high-pass filters when  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

Building an "economical" damping

- The derivation of KdV equation is valid to capture low frequencial phenomena (think in frequencies !!)
- Idea : build the damping as a high-pass filter
- The previous dampings are no high-pass filters when  $\alpha \geq 0$ .
- We need even weaker damping models and define a "very weak" damping (say  $\alpha <$  0 ?)

A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex

### Problem

Let *L* be a weak damping operator i.e.

$$0 \leq \int L[u] u dx \leq c \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

and consider the equation

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + L[u] + uu_x = f, \ x \in \mathbb{T}, t > 0$$

$$\tag{1}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x)$$
 (2)

Do still have we the phenomena proven/ pointed out by Ghidaglia, Goubet Rosa, Cabral for even more weak dampings ?

$$\exists c > 0 \ s.t. \ (L[u], u)_{L^2} \le c |u|_{L^2}^2 \ \text{ and } \ \exists d > 0(L[u], u)_{L^2} \ge d |u|_{L^2}^2$$

The model (damping in frequencies)

Construction and Property Damping Properties

Let  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , and consider its Fourier expansion, we have:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(t) e^{i\frac{2\pi kx}{L}}$$

### The damping

We define the nonlocal damping in space (or dissipative) term as :

$$L_{\gamma}u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k \hat{u}_k(t) e^{i\frac{2\pi k \times}{L}} \quad \gamma_k = \gamma_{-k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma_k \ge 0$$

associated to the energy space  $H^\gamma=\{u\in L^2/\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\gamma_k|\hat{u}_k|^2<+\infty\}$  with

$$|u|_{\gamma}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k |\hat{u}_k|^2 = \int L_{\gamma}(u) u dx$$

Construction and Property Damping Properties

The model (damping in frequencies)

Let  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , and consider its Fourier expansion, we have:

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_k(t) e^{i\frac{2\pi kx}{L}}$$

### The damping

We define the nonlocal damping in space (or dissipative) term as :

$$L_{\gamma}u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k \hat{u}_k(t) e^{i\frac{2\pi k \times}{L}} \quad \gamma_k = \gamma_{-k}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma_k \ge 0$$

associated to the energy space  $H^\gamma = \{ u \in L^2 / \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k | \hat{u}_k |^2 < +\infty \}$  with

$$|u|_{\gamma}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k |\hat{u}_k|^2 = \int L_{\gamma}(u) u dx$$

<u>Remark</u>: if  $\gamma_k = \left| \frac{2\pi k}{L} \right|^{\alpha}$  then  $L_{\gamma}[u] = |D|^{\alpha} u$ 

Construction and Property Damping Properties

### Special attention to the case

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \gamma_k = 0$$

The damping is then weaker than when  $\gamma_k = \gamma \implies$  high-pass-like filter.

#### Approach

### Analysis

• Cauchy problem (Garnier (KdV, ArXiV 2015), C-Garnier Mammeri (BBM, DCDS-B, 2015))

Numerics and focus on :

- Test different damping in band of frequency
- Rate of damping
- Long time behavior, time regularization (Sobolev).
- computation of Steady state and periodic solution
- Hierarchy of dampings, inverse problem
- Prevent Blow up for GKdV

Construction and Property Damping Properties

# Damping

When the KdV equation is nor forced nor damped, it possesses an infinite number of invariants, the first ones being

• The mass : 
$$l_0(u) = \bar{u}(t) = \int_0^L u(x, t) dx = \int_0^L u_0(x) dx$$
  
• The  $L^2$  norm :  $l_1(u) = \int_0^L (u(x, t))^2 dx = \int_0^L (u_0(x)^2 dx)$   
• The Energy :  $l_2(u) = \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}\right)^2 dx - \frac{1}{6} \int_0^L (u(x, t))^3 dx$ 

We'll look to the time behavior of  $|u|_2$  and  $|u|_\gamma$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

Motivation A model of Damped KdV Equations

Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex Construction and Property Damping Properties

# Which damping ?



Figure : Various frequency damping profiles

Motivation A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties

Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Annex Construction and Property Damping Properties



Figure : Various frequency damping profiles

Construction and Property Damping Properties

### It is necessary to have $\gamma_k > 0$ : indeed

#### lemma

Let 
$$u, v \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$$
. Assume that  $\widehat{u}_{2k+1} = \widehat{v}_{2k+1} = 0$ . Then

$$\widehat{uv}_{2k+1} = 0, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows by induction that if  $\hat{u}_{2k+1} = 0$ , then  $\hat{u}_{2k+1}^p = 0$ . So if  $\hat{u}_{02k+1} = 0$  and  $\gamma_{2k} = 0$  (comb-like filter) then the solution is not damped





Figure : f = 0,  $(u_0)_{2k+1} = 0$  and  $\gamma_{2k} = 0, \gamma_{2k+1} = 1$ 

#### Motivation A model of Damped KdV Equations

Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results Conclusion and Outlook Construction and Property Damping Properties



Annex

Figure : f = 0,  $(u_0)_{2k+1} = 0$  and  $\gamma_{2k+1} = 0$ ,  $\gamma_{2k} = 1$ 

Construction and Property Damping Properties

## Damping Properties

Proposition : (The linear Homogeneous equation)

$$u_t + L_{\gamma}[u] = 0$$

Assume that  $\gamma_k > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and that  $u_0 \in H^{\beta/\gamma}$ . Then :  $|u|_{\beta}^2 \leq \frac{e^{-1}}{2t} |u_0|_{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}^2$ . More generally, assume that  $\gamma_k \in [0, 1], \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and that  $u_0 \in H_{\frac{1}{\gamma^s}}$ .

Then, for every 
$$s > 0$$
,  $|u|_{L^2}^2 \leq \min\left(e^{-s}\left(\frac{s}{2t}\right)^s |u_0|_{\frac{1}{\gamma^s}}^2, |u_0|_{L^2}^2\right)$ 

#### Proposition

Assume that there exist  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and C, three strictly positive real numbers s.t.

i. 
$$|(\widehat{u}_0)_k|^2 \leq C \gamma_k^{2\delta}$$
, with  $\delta = \alpha + \beta$ .

$$\text{ii.} \ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\gamma_k^{\mathbf{2}\beta}<+\infty$$

Then 
$$|u|_{L^2}^2 \leq Ce^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{t}\right)^{2\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k^{2\beta} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2\alpha}}\right).$$

Construction and Property Damping Properties

#### Proposition : (The nonlinear Homogeneous equation)

Assume that  $\bar{u}(0) = 0$  and  $\gamma_k > 0$ 

- i.  $\lim_{t\to+\infty}|u|_{L^2}=0.$
- ii. In addition, if  $\bar{u}(0) = 0$  and if  $\exists c > 0$  such that  $\gamma_k \ge c > 0, \forall k \in Z$  then  $|u|_{L^2} \le \kappa e^{-ct} |u|_L^2$ .

**Remark** When  $\gamma_k > 0$ ,  $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \gamma_k = 0$ , orbit converge to 0 in  $L^2$ , but it can be at an arbitrary slow rate, it depends on how  $\gamma_k$  converge to 0 as k goes to infinity.

Construction and Property Damping Properties

# **Damping Properties**

The Energy Ratio Function

Let 
$$G(u,t) \mapsto G(u,t) = \frac{|u|_{\gamma}}{|u|_{L^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k |\hat{u}_k|^2}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{u}_k|^2}}$$

so 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d||u||^2}{dt} + G(u,t)^2 ||u||^2 = 0$$

Construction and Property Damping Properties

## Damping Properties

The Energy Ratio Function

Let 
$$G(u,t) \mapsto G(u,t) = \frac{|u|_{\gamma}}{|u|_{L^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k |\hat{u}_k|^2}{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{u}_k|^2}}$$

so 
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \|u\|^2}{dt} + G(u, t)^2 \|u\|^2 = 0$$

### Proposition : (The nonlinear forced equation)

Assume that f belongs to  $H_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \bigcap L^2$  Then

$$|u(t)|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq e^{-\int_{0}^{t} G^{2}(s)ds} |u_{0}|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\int_{s}^{t} G^{2}(\tau)d\tau} |f|_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}^{2}ds.$$

If f = 0 then  $|u(t)|_{L^2}^2 = e^{-2\int_0^t G^2(s)ds} |u_0|_{L^2}^2$ . It follows that  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} |u|_{L^2} = 0 \iff G \notin L^2_t(0, +\infty).$ 

Space semi-discretization Time semidiscretization  $L^2$  stability and damping properties of the schemes

# Spatial and time discretization

### Pseudospectral (Fourier)

Let  $\Omega = [0, L]$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we consider the expansion of *L*-periodic function *u* as

$$u_N(x) = \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \hat{u}_k e^{i\frac{2k\pi x}{L}}, \text{ with } \hat{u}_k = \frac{2}{L} \int_0^L u(x) e^{-i\frac{2k\pi x}{L}} dx$$

Then

$$(u_N)_x = \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \left( i \frac{2k\pi}{L} \right) \hat{u}_k e^{i \frac{2k\pi x}{L}}, \ (u_N)_{xxx} = \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \left( i \frac{2k\pi}{L} \right)^3 \hat{u}_k e^{i \frac{2k\pi x}{L}}$$

$$L_{\gamma}[u] = \sum_{k=-N/2+1}^{N/2} \gamma_k \hat{u}_k e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{L}}$$

Space semi-discretization Time semidiscretization  $L^2$  stability and damping properties of the schemes

### Time marching schemes

- i. Backward Euler's
- ii. Crank Nicolson
- iii. Sanz-Serna
- iv. Lie Splitting and Strang Splitting
- v. RK34 (inverse problem)

Stability properties and accuracy (C.-Sadaka, CPAA 2013)

Space semi-discretization Time semidiscretization  $L^2$  stability and damping properties of the schemes

### Time marching schemes

i. Backward Euler's

$$\frac{\widehat{u}_{k}^{n+1}-\widehat{u}_{k}^{n}}{\Delta t} + \left(i\frac{2\pi k}{L}\right)^{3}\cdot\widehat{u}_{k}^{n+1} + \gamma_{k}\cdot\widehat{u}_{k}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\cdot\left(i\frac{2\pi k}{L}\right)\cdot\left(\widehat{u^{n+1}}\right)^{2}{}_{k} = \widehat{f}_{k}$$

ii. Crank Nicolson

$$\frac{u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)}}{\Delta t} + L_{\gamma} \frac{u^{(n+1)} + u^{(n)}}{2} + \mathcal{L}^{3} \frac{u^{(n+1)} + u^{(n)}}{2} + \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{(u^{(n+1)})^{2} + (u^{(n)})^{2}}{4} \right) = t$$

iii. Sanz Serna

$$\frac{u^{(n+1)}-u^{(n)}}{\Delta t} + L_{\gamma} \frac{u^{(n+1)}+u^{(n)}}{2} + \mathcal{L}^3 \frac{u^{(n+1)}+u^{(n)}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{u^{(n+1)}+u^{(n)}}{2}\right)^2 = f$$

Space semi-discretization Time semidiscretization  $L^2$  stability and damping properties of the schemes

### Time marching schemes (cont.)

iv. Strang Splitting 1

$$\frac{u^{(n+1/3)}-u^{(n)}}{\Delta t/2}+L_{\gamma}u^{(n+1/3)}=0,$$

$$\frac{u^{(n+2/3)}-u^{(n+1/3)}}{\Delta t}+\mathcal{L}^3 u^{(n+2/3)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}(u^{(n+2/3)})^2=f_1$$

$$\frac{u^{(n+1)}-u^{(n+2/3)}}{\Delta t/2}+L_{\gamma}u^{(n+1)}=0,$$

v. Strang Splitting 2

$$u^{(n+1/3)} = e^{-\frac{\Delta t}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\gamma}} u^{(n)}$$

$$\frac{u^{(n+2/3)}-u^{(n+1/3)}}{\Delta t}+\frac{\mathcal{L}^3\left(u^{(n+1/3)}+u^{(n+2/3)}\right)}{2}+\frac{\mathcal{L}(u^{(n+1/3)}+u^{(n+2/3)})^2}{8}=f,$$

$$u^{(n+1)} = e^{-\frac{\Delta t}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\gamma}} u^{(n+2/3)}$$

Space semi-discretization Time semidiscretization  $L^2$  stability and damping properties of the schemes

#### Theorem

• (Backward Euler)

$$|u^{(n+1)}|_2^2 + |u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)}|^2 + \Delta t (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k |\hat{u}_k^{(n+1)}|^2 \le |u^{(n)}|_2^2 + \Delta t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\gamma_k} |\hat{f}_k|^2$$

In addition if f = 0, then  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} |u^{(n)}|_{L^2} = 0$ . We have

$$|u^{(n)}|_{L^2}^2 \le \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{1+2\Delta t(G^{(j)})^2}\right) |u_0|_{L^2}^2$$

- (Sanz-Serna)  $|u^{(n+1)}|_{L^2} + \frac{\Delta t}{4}|u^{(n+1)} + u^{(n)}|_{\gamma}^2 \le |u^{(n)}|_{L^2}^2 + \Delta t|f|_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}^2$ .
- (Splitting 2) Unconditional L<sup>2</sup> stability. Moreover, if f = 0,  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} |u^{(n)}|_{L^2} = 0. \text{ If } u^{(0)} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) \cap H_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \text{ then}$

$$|u^{(n)}| \leq \frac{e^{-1}}{N\Delta t} |u^{(0)}|_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

### Initial data

$$u_0(x) = 51 = 3c \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{\frac{c}{2}}(x - pL))^2$$
, with  $c = 1, p = 0.4$  is the soliton  
 $u_0(x) = 52 = \chi_{0.4L < x < 0.6L}$  corresponds to the crennel  
 $u_0(x) = 53 = \sin(\frac{2\pi x}{L})$  is the sine data  
 $u_0(x) = 54 = 50\chi_{x>\pi} \sin(4x)$  (this is the initial data to compute time-periodic  
solutions for  $L = 2\pi$  (inspired by that used by Cabral-Rosa))

Unless specified, for all the numerical simulations, we work with L = 100,  $N = 2^9$  and  $\Delta t = 0.0005$ .

## Damping profiles

$$\gamma_k = 1, \ \gamma_k = \chi_{k_1 \le |k| \le k_2}, \ \gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^{\alpha}}, \alpha = 1/4, 1, 2 \cdots$$



Figure : Comparison of the rate of the accuracy of the schemes for  $\gamma_k = 1, \forall k$  (left) and for  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{1+|k|}$  (right).

Motivation A model of Damped KdV Equations Numerical Schemes : Definition and Properties Numerical Results

Conclusion and Outlook

Annex

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# Damping for homogeneous equation



Figure :  $u_0(x) = 53$ ,  $\gamma_k = 1$ ,  $\forall k$ , f(x) = 0J-P, CHEHAB (very) weakly damped KdV equations

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Figure : 
$$u_0(x) = 53$$
,  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)}$ ,  $f(x) = 0$ 

J-P. CHEHAB (very) weakly damped KdV equations

Annex

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping

Preventing the Blow up



Figure : 
$$u_0(x) = S3$$
 ,  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^2}$ ,  $f(x) = 0$ 

Annex

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# NonHomogeneous equation : Steady states



Figure : Computed steady states for  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^{1.5}}$ ,  $f = \sin(2\pi x/L)$ , various  $u_0(x)$ : evolution of  $\|\frac{du}{dt}\|_2$ 

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Figure : Computed steady states for  $\gamma_k = 1, \forall k$  (left) and for  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|).5}$  (right),  $f(x) = \sin(2\pi x/L)$ , various  $u_0(x)$  : evolution of  $\|\frac{du}{dt}\|_{2^2}^2$ .
Periodic solutions





Figure : Periodic solution for  $\gamma_k = 9.9/(1+|k|)^{1.2}$ ,  $f = 500 \sin(x)$ ,  $L = 2\pi, u_0(x) = 50\chi_{x>\pi} \sin(4x)$ 

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### NonHomogeneous equation : Sobolev regularization

(According to Mallat)  $u \in L^2$  belongs to  $H^s$ , s > 0 iff

$$\sum_{N=1}^{+\infty} N^{2s-1} ||u-u_N||_{L^2}^2 < +\infty, \ u_N = \sum_{|k|>N} \widehat{u}(k) e_k(x).$$
(3)

$$u - - > U_N, \quad U_N - - > U_{N/2} \quad : \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} k^{2s-1} \left( \sum_{\ell=k}^{N} |\hat{u}_\ell|^2 \right) < +\infty$$

Numerical Sobolev exponent: computed by considering the tail of the spectral energy of the solution.

$$\sum_{\ell=k}^{N} |\hat{u}_{\ell}|^2 \simeq rac{\mathcal{C}}{k^{2s}}, ext{ for } k \gg 1,$$

$$v_k = \ln \sum_{\ell=k}^N |\hat{u}_\ell|^2 \simeq \ln(\mathcal{C}) - 2s \ln k, \text{ for } k \gg 1.$$

compute s by a linear regression  $\min_{s,\kappa} \sum_{k=N-m}^{N} (v_k - (\kappa - 2s \ln(k))^2)$ .



Figure :  $u_0(x) = S2$ ,  $\gamma_k = 1$ ,  $\forall k \ f(x) = \sin(2\pi x/L)$ 



Figure : 
$$u_0(x) = S1$$
,  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^{1/4}}$ ,  $f(x) = \sin(2\pi x/L)$ 



Figure : 
$$u_0(x) = S2$$
,  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{(1+|k|)^{1/4}}$ ,  $f(x) = \sin(2\pi x/L)$ 

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### Comparison of various dampings

• For  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_k \hat{u}_k(t) e^{\frac{2i\pi \chi}{L}}$ , a Fourier-like approximation is used in space

• For  $\mathcal{L}u = \nu \int_0^t \frac{u_t}{\sqrt{t-s}}$  (see Chen-Dumont-Dupaigne-Goubet) we use the approx  $\nu \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Delta t}} \sum_{j=0}^n g_{n+1-j} u^j$  where  $g_j$  are Gear's coefficients (Dubois 01),

the space approximation is done by Fourier.

• For  $\mathcal{L}u = \chi_{[a,b]}u$  (see Laurent, Rosier, Zhang ..), we use compact schemes in finite differences to reach a spectral-like accuracy (Lele 92)

To make an hierarchy between these dampings for the long time behavior, we compare the associated function G(t).

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

we can range the operators in the following way, by decreasing damping rate

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \nu \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{t}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds$$

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = -\mu \partial_{x}^{2} u$$

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \gamma u$$

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \gamma u \chi_{[a,b]}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}_{\gamma}(u) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{k} \hat{u}_{k} e^{\frac{2ik\pi x}{L}}, \text{ with } \gamma_{k} = \frac{1}{1+|k|}$$



Figure : Comparison of the time evolution of  $L^2$ -norm (left) and of  $\Gamma^2(t)$  (right) for the damping operators  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma}$  and  $\chi_{[a,b]}$  on [0, L] with L = 20.



Figure : Comparison of the time evolution of  $L^2$ -norm (left) and of  $\Gamma^2(t)$  (right) for the damping operators  $\mathcal{L}(u) = -\mu u_{xx} + \sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t \frac{u_t}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds$  for different  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  with L = 500.

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### Damping reconstruction by solving an inverse problem

Integrate numerically 
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d|u|^2}{dt} + G^2(t)|u|^2 = 0, on[t_n, t_{n+1}]$$
 and get

$$G^{2}(t_{n+1}) \simeq rac{|u(t_{n})|^{2} - |u(t_{n+1})|^{2}}{rac{\Delta t}{2}(|u(t_{n+1})|^{2} + |u(t_{n})|^{2})} \equiv \Gamma_{n}^{2}$$

Case of the local damping in space  $\mathcal{L} = \chi_{[a,b]}$ . Let  $x_i$  be the (regularly spaced) grid points and  $\ell_i$  the vector defined by

$$\ell_i = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1 & ext{if } x_i \in [a,b], \ 0 & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

The computed values of  $G^2(t_n)$  at discrete times  $t_n$  are the numbers

$$G^{2}(t_{n}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_{i}(u_{i}^{n})^{2}h}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{i}^{n})^{2}h}$$

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### Damping reconstruction by solving an inverse problem

Integrate numerically 
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d|u|^2}{dt} + G^2(t)|u|^2 = 0, on[t_n, t_{n+1}]$$
 and get

$$G^{2}(t_{n+1}) \simeq rac{|u(t_{n})|^{2} - |u(t_{n+1})|^{2}}{rac{\Delta t}{2}(|u(t_{n+1})|^{2} + |u(t_{n})|^{2})} \equiv \Gamma_{n}^{2}$$

Case of the local damping in space  $\mathcal{L} = \chi_{[a,b]}$ . Let  $x_i$  be the (regularly spaced) grid points and  $\ell_i$  the vector defined by

$$\ell_i = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 1 & ext{if } x_i \in [a,b], \ 0 & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

The computed values of  $G^2(t_n)$  at discrete times  $t_n$  are the numbers

$$G^{2}(t_{n}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_{i}(u_{i}^{n})^{2}h}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_{i}^{n})^{2}h}$$
 Idea: fitting of G with  $\Gamma$ 

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings *A posteriori* Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

real numbers  $\gamma_i$  solution of the constraint least square problem

$$Inf_{\ell_{i}\geq 0}\sum_{m=0}^{M}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\ell_{i}(u_{i}^{n})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(u_{i}^{n})^{2}}-\Gamma^{2}(t_{n})\right)^{2}$$

This minimization problem will be solved numerically using the Matlab ${\mathbb R}$  function fmincon.





Figure :  $\Gamma^2(t)$  (left), comparison of the original and the rebuilt coefficients  $\mathcal{L} = \chi_{[0.4L, 0.6L]}$  (right)

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping **Preventing the Blow up** 

#### Prevent the Blow up

Facts : For GKdV and  $p \ge 4$ , one can find initial data  $u_0$  which blows up in finite time (in  $H^1$  norm):

#### Property

Blow-up of  $||u_x||_{L^2}$  in finite time for  $p \ge 4$  and  $\gamma$  a small constant (Bona et al., 96; Martel and Merle, 02).

For a given blowing data  $u_0,$  it is possible to find  $\gamma^*$  such that the solution of the damped GKdV equation

$$u_t - u_{xxx} + \gamma u + u^p u_x = 0,$$

 $u(x,0)=u_0(x)$ 

doesn't bow up for any  $\gamma \geq \gamma^*$ .

# Goal• find such $\gamma^*$ • more generally find very weak dampings defined with a sequence $\gamma_k$

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# Scheme

#### Sanz-Serna scheme

$$\frac{\hat{u}_{n+1}(k) - \hat{u}_n(k)}{\Delta t} + (ik - ik^3 + \gamma_k) \frac{\hat{u}_{n+1}(k) + \hat{u}_n(k)}{2} \\ + \frac{ik}{p+1} F\left[\left(\frac{\hat{u}_{n+1}(k) + \hat{u}_n(k)}{2}\right)^{p+1}\right] = 0.$$

#### Initial datum

We take a perturbed soliton with c = 1.5 and d = 0.2L

$$u(x,t) = 1.01 \left( \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(c-1)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cosh^{-\frac{2}{p}} \left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{p(c-1)}{4}} (x-d) \right).$$

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# The optimal damping



#### Figure : Initialization

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# The optimal damping





Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### Simulations



Figure : At left, solution at different times t = 0, 2, 4, 4.9925 and 5.3303. At right,  $H^1$ -norm and  $L^2$ -norm evolution without damping and a perturbed soliton as initial datum. Here p = 5.

#### Simulations



Figure : At left, solution at different times t = 0, 2, 5, 10, 11 and 11.3253. At right,  $H^1$ -norm and  $L^2$ -norm evolution with  $\gamma_k = 0.0025$  and a perturbed soliton as initial datum. Here p = 5.

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

#### Simulations



Figure : At left, solution at different times t = 0, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20. At right,  $H^1$ -norm and  $L^2$ -norm evolution with  $\gamma_k = 0.0027$  and a perturbed soliton as initial datum. Here p = 5.

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Simulations

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up



Figure : Example of a build damping. Here the initial datum is the perturbed soliton. Here p = 5.

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# Simulations



Figure : At left, solution at different times t = 0, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20. At right,  $H^1$ -norm and  $L^2$ -norm evolution with  $\gamma = \gamma_1$  and a perturbed soliton as initial datum. Here p = 5.

Homogeneous Equation Non Homogeneous Equation Comparison of various dampings A posteriori Reconstruction of the damping Preventing the Blow up

# Simulations



Figure : At left, solution at different times t = 0, 2, 5, 7 and 7.928. At right,  $H^1$ -norm and  $L^2$ -norm evolution with  $\gamma = \gamma_2$  and a perturbed soliton as initial datum. Here p = 5.

#### This work

- Damping in energy norms
- Sobolev regularization effect even when  $\gamma_k 
  ightarrow 0$
- Nontrivial dynamics for large t (as in the case  $\gamma_k = \gamma$ )
- Hierarchy of damping models and a posteriori reconstruction of the damping operator (same can be done with BBM equations)
- Frequential approach
  - Good for band limited frequencies problems.
  - Allows to build cheap and efficient dampings.

#### Next

• Damping with  $L_{\gamma}$  in orthogonal polynomial (or Hilbert) basis

$$L_{\gamma}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k \hat{u}_k p_k(x)$$

and 
$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_k p_k(x)$$
 with  $\hat{u}_k = \frac{(u, p_k)_\omega}{(p_k, p_k)_\omega}$ 

- Equations as ∂u/∂t + L<sub>γ</sub>u + F(u) = 0 with Re(F(u), u)<sub>ω</sub> = 0 and L<sub>γ</sub> defined as above. Example NLS : i(u<sub>t</sub> + αu) + u<sub>xx</sub> + |u|<sup>2</sup>u = f (asymptotic regularization Ghidaglia (88), Goubet (96))
- Coupling Least square constraint evolution with Regularization for solving ill-conditioned inverse problems
- Use a similar approach for other equations (NLS, KP, ...).

#### References I



J.-P. Chehab, P. Garnier, and Y. Mammeri. Long-time behavior of solutions of a BBM equation with generalized damping.

Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst. B, 7:1897-1915, 2015.

J.-P. Chehab and G. Sadaka. Numerical Study of a family of dissipative KdV equations. *CPAA*, 12(1):519–546, January 2013.
# References II

# J.-P. Chehab and G. Sadaka.

On Damping Rates of dissipative KdV equations.

Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 12 (2013), no. 1, 519-546., 6(1), December 2013.

## P. Garnier.

Damping to prevent the blow-up of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. ArXiv e-prints, Submitted, 2015.

## J.-M. Ghidaglia.

Weakly damped forced Korteweg-de Vries equations behave as a finite-dimensional dynamical system in the long time.

J. Differential Equations, 74(2):369-390, 1988.

## Y. Martel and F. Merle.

Stability of blow-up profile and lower bounds for blow-up rate for the critical generalized KdV equation.

Ann. of Math. (2), 155(1):235-280, 2002.

# References III



Stéphane Vento.

Global well-posedness for dissipative Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 54(1):119–138, 2011.

# Well-posedness

### damped KdV equation (dKdV)

$$u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + u^p u_x + L_{\gamma}(u) = 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall t > 0, \ p \ge 1,$$
  
 $u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

## Damping

L<sub>γ</sub> is defined by :

$$\widehat{L_{\gamma}(u)}(\xi) := \gamma(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi).$$

- $\hat{u}$  is the Fourier transform of u.
- $\gamma(\xi) > 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The following condition is verified

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x) L_{\gamma}(u) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(\xi) |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 \geq 0.$$

# Notations

Multiply dKdV in 
$$L^2$$
 by  $u$ , we obtain  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} ||u||_{L^2}^2 + |u|_{\gamma}^2 = 0$ .

## Space of study

$$H_{\gamma^s}(\mathbb{R}):=\left\{u\in L^2(\mathbb{R}); \int_{\mathbb{R}}\gamma(\xi)^s|\hat{u}(\xi)|^2d\xi<+\infty
ight\},$$

equipped with the norm

$$\left. u \right|_{\gamma^s} := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma(\xi)^s |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2}.$$

## Reminder

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi.$$
$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

J-P. CHEHAB (very) weakly damped KdV equations

Local and global well-posedness of the KdV equation

## Cauchy problem considered

$$u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + u^p u_x + L_{\gamma}(u) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in [0, T]$$
(4)  
$$u(x, t = 0) = u_0(x).$$
(5)

#### Lemma

Assume that s,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_r > 0$ , depending only on r, such that  $\forall u \in H_{\gamma^s}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\forall t > 0$  we have

$$\left|S_{t}u\right|_{\gamma^{s+r}}^{2} \leq \frac{C_{r}}{t^{r}}\left|u\right|_{\gamma^{s}}^{2}.$$

### Theorem

Assume that there exists  $r \in ]0, 2[$  and for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma(\xi) \ge \xi^{\frac{2}{r}}$ . We also assume that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\gamma(\xi)^{s}} < +\infty$  and there exists a constant C > 0 such that  $\forall \xi, \ \eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$  we have

$$\sqrt{\gamma(\xi)^{\mathsf{s}}} \leq \mathsf{C}\left(\sqrt{\gamma(\xi-\eta)^{\mathsf{s}}} + \sqrt{\gamma(\eta)^{\mathsf{s}}}\right).$$

Then there exists a unique solution in  $C([-T, T], H_{\gamma^s}(\mathbb{R}))$  of the Cauchy problem (4)-(5).

#### remark

Actually we can prove the local well-posedness in  $H^{\rm s}(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $\gamma$  using a parabolic regularisation

$$u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + u^p u_x + L_{\gamma}(u) - \epsilon u_{xx} = 0.$$

Using the lemma with  $\gamma(\xi) = \xi^2$ , the same computations as the previous theorem and the limit  $\epsilon \to 0$  give the result (Bona and Smith, 75).

#### Theorem

If p < 4, for all  $\gamma$ , the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Else  $(p \ge 4)$ , there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that if  $\gamma(\xi) \ge \theta$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$  then the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (Bona et al., 96).

#### Theorem

If p < 4, for all  $\gamma$ , the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Else  $(p \ge 4)$ , there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that if  $\gamma(\xi) \ge \theta$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$  then the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (Bona et al., 96).

#### Theorem

If p < 4, for all  $\gamma$ , the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Else  $(p \ge 4)$ , there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that if  $\gamma(\xi) \ge \theta$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$  then the unique solution is global in time, valued in  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (Bona et al., 96).

### Remark

This result is also true on the torus  $\mathbb{T}(0, L)$  on which we switch now.