DG-SEPARABLE DG-EXTENSIONS

ALEXANDER ZIMMERMANN

ABSTRACT. We define and characterise completely dg-separable dg-extensions $\varphi : (A, d_A) \to (B, d_B)$. We completely characterise the case of graded commutative dg-division algebras in characteristic different from 2. We prove that for a dg-separable extension a short exact sequence of dg-modules over (B, d_B) splits if and only if the restriction to (A, d_A) splits.

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a commutative ring. A differential graded K-algebra (A, d) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra A together with a K-linear graded endomorphism $d : A \to A$ of degree 1 such that $d^2 = 0$ and

$$d(a \cdot b) = d(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot d(b)$$

for all homogeneous $a, b \in A$, where we denote by $|a|$ the degree of $a \in A$. Differential graded algebras (or dg-algebras for short) were defined by Cartan [2] in 1954 and proved to be highly successful in many subjects, such as homological algebra, algebraic topology, differential and algebraic geometry, and alike. However, the ring theory of differential graded algebras remained largely unexplored until quite recently. The first of the results in this direction was a characterisation of Aldrich and Garcia Rozas [1] of acyclic dg-algebras. [13] then studied general ring theoretic properties, such as a dg-Nakayama lemma, and independently in a parallel development Orlov [8] studied finite dimensional dg-K-algebras over a field K. Goodbody [4] proved a version of Nakayama's lemma in the dg-setting following Orlov's approach. In the sequel [14] defined and studied a dg-Brauer group, and in [15] Ore localisation and a Goldie theorem was studied in the context of dg-algebras. Further, in [16] a concept of a dg-division algebra was developed, and a complete classification was given. In this case we showed that a dg-division algebra is either acyclic or has differential $d = 0$. Note that in [14] a technical hypothesis was imposed for the classification. We shall prove in this paper that the technical assumption is superfluous.

In general, a K-algebra B is called separable over a K-subalgebra A if the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow B$ is split as morphism of $B - B$-bimodules. A graded version was given by Năstăsescu-van Oystaeyen [6] asking for a split in the category of graded bimodules.

We define in this paper a differential graded separability, asking simply that the splitting of the multiplication map is a map of differential graded bimodules. We use the classification from [16] to show that a field extension between two graded-commutative acyclic dg-division rings is dg-separable if the extension of cycles is graded-separable. In characteristic different from 2, the converse also holds. Further, we show that in characteristic different from 2 an extension of dg-division algebras from an algebra with differential 0 to an acyclic algebra is never separable. We finally note that a dg-extension $(A, d_A) \to (B, d_B)$ where (A, d_A) is acyclic implies that (B, d_B) is acyclic as well. This gives a complete picture of separability of extensions of graded commutative dg-division algebras in characteristic different from 2. The results are displayed in Theorem 3.5.

In general, we show that a dg-extension $\varphi : (A, d_A) \to (B, d_B)$ is dg-separable if and only if there is a homogeneous element $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$ of degree 0 with $b\omega = \omega b$ for all $b \in B$ and mapping to 1 under the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \to B$. We show in Theorem 4.6 that this then implies that a short exact sequence of dg-modules over (B, d_B) if and only if the restriction to (A, d_A) splits. We further mention that our concept of dg-separability gives that the restriction functor is a separable functor in the sense of Năstăsescu, van den Bergh and van Oystaeyen [7].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 1 we recall results from [16] concerning dg-division rings as far as they are relevant for this work. Section 2 then gives the definition of a dg-separable extension. In Section 3 we completely classify dg-separable dg-extension of graded-commutative dg-division rings, which includes our first main result Theorem 3.5. Finally, Section 4 shows the second main result Theorem 4.6.

1. Dg-division algebras revisited

First recall some notations. As a reference one may take [11] or [13, 15, 16]. Let (A, d) be a dg-K-algebra. Then a left dg-module over (A, d) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded A-module M together with an endomorphism $\delta : M \to M$ of degree 1 with $\delta^2 = 0$ and $\delta(a \cdot m) = d(a) \cdot m + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot \delta(m)$ for all homogeneous $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. If (A, d) is a dg-algebra, then (A^{op}, d) is a dg-algebra as well, where A^{op} coincides with A as K-module, and where $a \cdot_{op} b := (-1)^{|a||b|} b \cdot a$ for all homogeneous $a, b \in A$. Further, a right dg-module over (A, d) is a left dg-module over (A^{op}, d). For two dg-modules (M, δ_M) and (N, δ_N) over (A, d) we set

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A^k((M, \delta_M), (N, \delta_N)) := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K,\ \mathrm{graded}}(M, N) \mid f(am) = (-1)^{|a|k} a f(m)\}$$

and put $d_{\mathrm{Hom}}(f) := \delta_M \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} \circ \delta_M$.

We abbreviate

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M), (N, \delta_N)) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_A^k((M, \delta_M), (N, \delta_N)).$$

and

$$\mathrm{End}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M)) := \mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M), (M, \delta_M)).$$

Then $\mathrm{End}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M)), d_{\mathrm{Hom}})$ is a dg-algebra, and $\mathrm{Hom}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M), (N, \delta_N)), d_{\mathrm{Hom}})$ is a dg-bimodule over $\mathrm{End}_A^\bullet((N, \delta_N)), d_{\mathrm{Hom}})$- $\mathrm{End}_A^\bullet((M, \delta_M)), d_{\mathrm{Hom}})$.

Recall from [16] the definition of a differential graded division algebra.

Definition 1.1. [16] A *dg-division algebra* is a dg-algebra (A, d) such that the only dg-left ideals are 0 and A and the only dg-right ideals are 0 and A.

Differential graded division algebras (A, d) were completely classified in [16] (cf Remark 1.3 below). The corresponding result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. [16] *Let (A, d) be a dg-algebra. Then*
- *(A, d) is a dg-division algebra if and only if $\ker(d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-gr-division algebra (cf [6]).*
- *If (A, d) is a dg-division algebra,*
  - *then,*
    - *either $d = 0$ and (A, d) is a graded-skew field*
    - *or $H(A, d) = 0$ and*
      - *either $\ker(d)$ is a skew-field concentrated in degree 0*
      - *or there is a skew field R_0 such that $\ker(d) \simeq R_0[X, X^{-1}; \phi]$ for an automorphism ϕ of R_0 and $Xr = \phi(r)X$ for any $r \in R_0$.*
  - *If $H(A, d) = 0$, then there is a homogeneous element y with $d(y) = 1$ and $y^2 \in \ker(d)$, and there is a map $D : \ker(d) \longrightarrow \ker(d)$ of degree 1 defined by*

    $$D(a) = -(-1)^{|a|} d(yay) = ya - (-1)^{|a|} ay$$

    for any homogeneous $a \in \ker(d)$, such that A is isomorphic with the quotient of the twisted polynomial ring

    $$A \simeq \ker(d)[T; D]/(T^2 - y^2).$$

    Moreover, the algebra structure on the twisted group ring is given by $D(a) = Ta - (-1)^{|a|} aT$ for any homogeneous $a \in \ker(d)$. Furthermore, $A = \ker(d) \oplus y \ker(d)$, and the

isomorphism is

$$\Phi : \ker(d)[T; D] \longrightarrow A$$
$$b + Ta \mapsto b + ya$$

for any homogeneous $a, b \in \ker(d)$. *Further, for any homogeneous* $a, b \in \ker(d)$ *we get* $d(b + ya) = a$.

Remark 1.3. Recall that a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-division ring if every homogeneous element is invertible. In [16] an additional hypothesis on (A, d) was imposed in the formulation of Theorem 1.2. Namely we asked that the set of left regular homogeneous elements of $\ker(d)$ coincides with the set of right regular homogeneous elements of $\ker(d)$. This was used in order to show that $\ker(d)$ is a graded-division ring. We shall show here that this hypothesis is unnecessary.

Lemma 1.4. *A dg-algebra A is a dg-division ring if and only if* $\ker(d)$ *is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-division ring.*

Proof. If $\ker(d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-division ring, then (A, d) is a dg-division algebra by [16, Lemma 2.1].

Suppose that $\ker(d)$ is not a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-division ring, and that (A, d) is a dg-division ring. Then there is an in $\ker(d)$ non invertible homogeneous element $0 \neq x \in \ker(d)$. Hence, x is not left invertible, or not right invertible in $\ker(d)$, or both, since else x would be invertible, contradicting the hypothesis.

Suppose first that $x \cdot \ker(d) \neq \ker(d)$. If $xA \neq A$, then, since $x \in \ker(d)$, the ideal xA would be a non trivial dg-right ideal of A. This is impossible since (A, d) is assumed to be a dg-division algebra. Hence $xA = A$, and therefore there is a homogeneous $y \in A$ with $xy = 1$. But then

$$0 = d(1) = d(xy) = d(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d(y) = (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot d(y).$$

Since $x \in \ker(d)$, the left ideal Ax is a dg-left ideal of A. Hence, since (A, d) is a dg-division ring, $Ax = A$. But then

$$A \cdot d(y) = A \cdot x \cdot d(y) = A \cdot 0 = 0,$$

which implies $d(y) = 0$. Hence $y \in \ker(d)$, which implies in turn

$$\ker(d) \supseteq x \cdot \ker(d) \supseteq x \cdot y \cdot \ker(d) = \ker(d).$$

This was excluded.

If $\ker(d) \cdot x \neq \ker(d)$, the analogous argument gives a contradiction as well. Hence $\ker(d)$ is a $\mathbb{Z} - gr$-division ring. ∎

Corollary 1.5. *Let (A, d) be a dg-algebra. Suppose that (A, d) is a graded commutative dg-division algebra. Then either* $\ker(d)$ *is a field concentrated in degree 0, or else* $\ker(d) = K[X, X^{-1}]$ *for some field K and X in non zero degree, and, in case K is of characteristic different from 2, then X is in even degree.*

We shall need to recall the definition of a differential graded structure on a tensor product of algebras. Let (A, d_A) be a dg-algebra and let (B, d_B) de a dg-algebra. Consider a dg-homomorphism $(A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$. Then for

$$d_{B \otimes_A B} = d_B \otimes \mathrm{id}_B + \mathrm{id}_B \otimes d_B,$$

respecting the Koszul sign rule, defines a dg-$B - B$-bimodule structure on $(B \otimes_A B)$. If A is a subalgebra of the graded centre of B, then $(B \otimes_A B, d_{B \otimes_A B})$ is a dg-algebra again.

2. Dg-separability

Recall that an algebra A is separable if A is a projective object in the category of $A - A$-bimodules. This is equivalent with the fact that the multiplication map is split as morphism of $A - A$-bimodules. Similarly, a graded algebra A is graded separable if the graded bimodule A is projective in the category of graded bimodules.

Proposition 2.1. [3, Example 2.5] *The extension of graded rings $R[T^n, T^{-n}] \subseteq S[T, T^{-1}]$ is graded-separable if and only if the extension $R \subseteq S$ is separable and n is invertible in R.*

Recall (cf e.g. [12]) that an algebra extension $\beta : A \longrightarrow B$ of K-algebras is separable if the multiplication map

$$\mu : B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow B$$

splits as a homomorphism of $B \otimes_K B$-bimodules.

We shall use the analogous concept.

Definition 2.2. Let K be a commutative ring and let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be differential graded algebras. A *dg-extension of dg-algebras* is a homomorphism $\beta : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ dg-algebras.

An extension of dg-algebras $\beta : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ is called *dg-separable* if the multiplication map

$$\mu : (B, d_B) \otimes_A (B, d_B) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$$

is split as morphism of differential graded $B - B$-bimodules.

Note however, for

$$B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\mu} B$$

one has $d_{B \otimes_A B} = d_B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_B$ and then, by the Leibniz formula and the Koszul sign rule on graded rings, we always have

$$\mu \circ d_{B \otimes_A B} = d_B \circ \mu.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $(A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ *be a dg-extension of dg-algebras. This extension is dg-separable if and only if there is* $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$ *homogeneous of degree 0 with* $b\omega = \omega b$ *for all* $b \in B$ *and* $\mu(\omega) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\rho : B \longrightarrow B \otimes_A B$ be a retract with $\mu \circ \rho = 1_B$. Then

$$\rho \circ d_B = d_{B \otimes_A B} \circ \rho$$

is equivalent with

$$d_{B \otimes_A B}(\omega) = 0$$

for $b\omega = \omega b$ and $\mu(\omega) = 1$. Hence, ω has to be a cycle in $B \otimes_A B$. Even better, this is equivalent. Suppose $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$ with $b\omega = \omega b$ for all b and $\mu(\omega) = 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{B \otimes_A B}(\rho(b)) &= d_{B \otimes_A B}(b\rho(1)) \\
&= d_{B \otimes_A B}(b\omega) \\
&= ((d_B \otimes 1)(b \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes d_B)(b \otimes 1))\omega + (-1)^{|b|} b d_{B \otimes B}(\omega)) \\
&= d_B(b)\omega \\
&= d_B(b)\rho(1) \\
&= \rho(d_B(b))
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equation holds since ρ is a morphism of bimodules. ∎

Lemma 2.4. *Let* (A, d_A) *and* (B, d_B) *be dg-algebras and let* $\varphi : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ *be a dg-extension of dg-algebras. Then* $\varphi|_{\ker(d_A)}$ *is an extension of graded rings* $\ker(d_A) \longrightarrow \ker(d_B)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ is a dg-extension of dg-algebras. Then φ induces a graded-extension $\varphi|_{\ker(d_A)} : \ker(d_A) \longrightarrow \ker(d_B)$ by restriction. Indeed, if $d_A(x) = 0$, then

$$0 = \varphi(d_A(x)) = d_B(\varphi(x))$$

and hence $\varphi(x) \in \ker(d_B)$ as well. ∎

3. CHARACTERISATION OF DG-SEPARABLE DG-FIELD EXTENSIONS

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that* (A, d_A) *and* (B, d_B) *are graded commutative dg-division algebras, suppose that* (A, d_A) *is acyclic, and suppose that* $\varphi : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ *is a dg-extension of dg-algebras. If the restriction* $\varphi|_{\ker(d_A)}$ *is a graded-separable extension, then* φ *is a dg-separable extension. If the characteristic of* A *is different from 2, then the converse also holds.*

Proof. The algebra (B, d_B) is a left dg-module over (A, d_A) via φ. Therefore, by [1] we get that (B, d_B) is acyclic as well. We may hence suppose that (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) are both acyclic dg-division algebras. Then

$$A = \ker(d_A)[T; D_A]/(T^2 - y_A^2)$$

and

$$B = \ker(d_B)[T, D_B]/(T^2 - y_B^2)$$

for $d_A(y_A) = 1$ and $d_B(y_B) = 1$. Suppose that $\varphi : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ is a dg-homomorphism. Further, $\varphi(1_A) = 1_B$ implies that we may assume that $\varphi(y_A) = y_B$.

By Lemma 2.4 the restriction of φ to $\ker(d_A)$ is an extension of graded rings $\ker(d_A) \longrightarrow \ker(d_B)$.

Suppose now that $\varphi|_{\ker(d_A)}$ is a graded-separable extension. Let $\omega_{\ker}$ be the element from Proposition 2.3 with $\mu(\omega_{\ker}) = 1$ and $b \cdot \omega_{\ker} = \omega_{\ker} \cdot b$ for all homogeneous $b \in \ker(d_B)$. Recall

$$A = \ker(d_A)[T; D_A]/(T^2 - y_A^2).$$

But, $\varphi(T)$ can be used as T in the isomorphism

$$B = \ker(d_B)[T, D_B]/(T^2 - y_B^2)$$

since we can put $\varphi(y_A) = y_B$. But than we only need to show

$$T\omega_{\ker} = \omega_{\ker}T.$$

If (B, d_B) is graded commutative, then for all homogeneous $b_1, b_2 \in \ker(d_B)$ we have that either the characteristic is 2, or else all b_i are of even degree. Hence

$$T(b_1 \otimes_A b_2) = b_1 T \otimes_A b_2 = b_1 \otimes_A Tb_2 = b_1 \otimes_A b_2 T = (b_1 \otimes_A b_2)T.$$

Moreover, trivially, T commutes with T, and hence T commutes with any $(b_1 \otimes_A b_2) \in B \otimes_A B$.

As for the converse, suppose that $(A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ be a dg-separable dg-extension. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3 there is an element $\omega \in B \otimes_A B$ of degree 0. with $b\omega = \omega b$ for all homogeneous $b \in B$, and the image of ω under the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \to B$ is 1. Further, $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$. If B is a graded commutative dg-division ring of characteristic different from 2, then $\ker(d_B)$ has to be concentrated in even degrees, since any homogeneous element is invertible, whence not nilpotent ($x^2 = -x^2$ for elements of odd degree), and by consequence in even degrees.

Consider the map

$$\Upsilon : \ker(d_B) \otimes_{\ker(d_A)} \ker(d_B) \longrightarrow B \otimes_A B$$

given by the natural inclusion. But then, as $B = \ker(d_B) \oplus T\ker(d_B) = \ker(d_B) \oplus \ker(d_B)T$, and since T is of degree -1, we see that the direct summand $\ker(d_B) \otimes_A T\ker(d_B)$ and $T\ker(d_B) \otimes_A \ker(d_B)$ are in odd degrees. Hence the image of Υ is in the subspace of even degree of $B \otimes_A B$. Further, all $b_1 \otimes b_2$ with $b_1, b_2 \in \ker(d_B)$ are in the image of Υ. Also, for all $b_1, b_2 \in \ker(d_B)$ the elements

$$Tb_1 \otimes Tb_2 = T^2 b_1 \otimes b_2 = y_B^2 b_1 \otimes b_2$$

are in the image of Υ. Further, y_B^2 is homogeneous of degree -2, satisfying $d_B(y_B) = 1$, and since

$$d_B(y_B^2) = d_B(y_B)y_B - y_B d_B(y_B) = y_B - y_B = 0$$

we have in $y_B^2 \in \ker(d_B)$. We have two cases. If $y_B^2 = 0$, then

$$T\ker(d_B) \otimes_A T\ker(d_B) = y_B^2 \ker(d_B) \otimes_A \ker(d_B) = 0.$$

Else, $y_B^2 \in \ker(d_B)^\times$ since (B, d_B) is an acyclic dg-division algebra (cf Lemma 1.4). Hence, the image of Υ is precisely the subspace of even degree elements of $B \otimes_A B$.

Since ω has to be homogeneous of degree 0, which is even, $\omega \in \mathrm{im}(\Upsilon)$. Let $\omega' \in \ker(d_B) \otimes_A \ker(d_B)$ with $\Upsilon(\omega') = \omega$. Note that Υ is injective. Therefore $\omega' \in \ker(d_B) \otimes_A \ker(d_B)$ can be used as required element to show that $\ker(d_A) \longrightarrow \ker(d_B)$ is graded separable. ∎

Remark 3.2. The case of differential 0 is trivial. A dg-extension $(A, 0) \longrightarrow (B, 0)$ is precisely a graded extension. Note that if (A, d_A) is acyclic, then any extension (B, d_B) of (A, d_A) is acylic as well. The only case left is when $d_A = 0$ and (B, d_B) is acyclic.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(A, 0)$ be a gr-field and let (B, d) be a graded commutative acyclic dg-division algebra. Suppose that $A \longrightarrow B$ is a dg-extension. If B is of characteristic different from 2, then this extension is not dg-separable.*

Proof. We need to find an element $\omega \in B \otimes_A B$, homogeneous of degree 0 and mapping to 1 under the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow B$, such that $d_{B \otimes_B A}(\omega) = 0$ and such that $b\omega = \omega b$ for all homogeneous $b \in B$.

If B is a graded commutative dg-division ring over k, then $\ker(d)$ has to be concentrated in even degrees, since any homogeneous element is invertible, whence not nilpotent ($x^2 = -x^2$ for elements of odd degree), and by consequence in even degrees. But then, as $B = \ker(d) \oplus T \ker(d) = \ker(d) \oplus \ker(d)T$, and since T is of degree -1, we see that for the element ω we have

$$\omega \in (\ker(d) \otimes \ker(d)) \oplus (T \ker(d) \otimes T \ker(d)).$$

However, $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$. Clearly,

$$(\ker(d) \otimes \ker(d)) \subseteq \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B}).$$

Now, for $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Tb_i \otimes Tb_i' \in (T \ker(d) \otimes T \ker(d))$ we get

$$0 = d_{B \otimes_A B}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes Tb_i' + (-1)^{|b_i|+1} Tb_i \otimes b_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes Tb_i' - Tb_i \otimes b_i'$$

since all b_i are of even degree. Since,

$$B \otimes_A B = (\ker(d) \otimes \ker(d)) \oplus (T \ker(d) \otimes \ker(d)) \oplus (\ker(d) \otimes T \ker(d)) \oplus (T \ker(d) \otimes T \ker(d))$$

we get

$$(T \ker(d) \otimes \ker(d)) \cap (\ker(d) \otimes T \ker(d)) = 0$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes Tb_i' = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Tb_i \otimes b_i' = T \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes b_i'\right)$$

which shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \otimes b_i' = 0.$$

Therefore $x = 0$. But for $\omega \in \ker(d) \otimes_A \ker(d)$ we get that $T\omega \neq \omega T$ since the left hand side is in $T \ker(d) \otimes_A \ker(d)$ and the right hand side lies in $\ker(d) \otimes_A \ker(d)T$, whose intersection is 0. ∎

We illustrate the argument by a simple

Example 3.4. • We illustrate the proof of Proposition 3.3 with an example. Let $A = K[X]/X^2$ for $d(X) = 1$, and X in degree -1. Here, $\ker(d) = K \cdot 1$. Then we need to see if the multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes_K A &\longrightarrow A \\ (a + bX) \otimes (c + dX) &\mapsto ac + (ad + bc)X \end{aligned}$$

is split as $A - A$-dg-bimodules. As we have a K-basis $\{1, X\}$ of A, we also have a K-basis $\{1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes X, X \otimes 1, X \otimes X\}$ of $A \otimes_K A$. The multiplication map splits as dg-map if and only if there is an element ω of degree 0 with $v\omega = \omega v$ for all $v \in A$ and mapping to 1 under the multiplication map. As $\ker(d)$ is commutative and central, we only need to verify this property for $v = X$. The degree 0 component of $A \otimes_K A$ is of dimension 1, generated by $1 \otimes 1$. An element $\lambda \cdot (1 \otimes 1)$ maps to 1 under the multiplication if and only if $\lambda = 1$. However,

$$X \cdot (1 \otimes 1) = (X \otimes 1) \neq (1 \otimes X) = (1 \otimes 1) \cdot X$$

Hence the extension is not separable.
  • Suppose that the characteristic of the field K is different from 2. Consider the dg-extension $(A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ of dg-division K-algebras, where (A, d_A) is acyclic, whence also (B, d_B), and where $\ker(d_A)$ is a skew-field concentrated in degree 0, and where $\ker(d_B) = D[T, T^{-1}]$ for some T in non zero even degree and a skew field D. Then this dg-extension is not dg-separable. This follows from the fact that $\ker(d_B)$ is of infinite dimension over $\ker(d_A)$, by degree considerations, and [3, Lemma 2.1] shows that graded-separable extensions are finite

dimensional. Hence, the graded-extension $\ker(d_A) \longrightarrow \ker(d_B)$ is not graded-separable, and then Proposition 3.1 gives the result.

We summarise the results in the following

Theorem 3.5. *Let K be a field, let (A, d_A) and let (B, d_B) be graded commutative dg-division rings over K. Let $\varphi : (B, d_B) \to (A, d_A)$ be a dg-extension.*

- *Then $\ker(d_A)$ and $\ker(d_B)$ are graded-commutative graded-division rings.*
- *If (B, d_B) is acyclic, then also (A, d_A) is acyclic and*
  - *the dg-extension is dg-separable if the induced graded-extension $\ker(d_B) \to \ker(d_A)$ is graded separable.*
  - *If the characteristic of K is different from 2, then the dg-extension is dg-separable if and only if the induced graded-extension $\ker(d_B) \to \ker(d_A)$ is graded-separable.*

Suppose now that the characteristic of K is different from 2.

- *If $d_B = 0$ and (A, d_A) is acyclic, then the dg-extension is not dg-separable.*
- *If (B, d_B) is acyclic and $\ker(d_B)$ is concentrated in degree 0, and if $\ker(d_A)$ is not concentrated in degree 0, then the dg-extension is not dg-separable.*
- *If (C, d_C) is a graded-commutative acyclic gr-division ring such that $\ker(d_C)$ is not concentrated in degree 0, then there is a field D such that $C \simeq D[T, T^{-1}]$ for some T in non zero even degree. An extension $D_1[T^n, T^{-n}] \to D_2[T, T^{-1}]$ is graded-separable if and only if the field extension $D_1 \longrightarrow D_2$ is separable and n is invertible in D_1.*

4. General consequences of dg-separability

Remark 4.1. Recall that we have two concepts of semisimplicity. An abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ is semisimple if every short exact sequence of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ is split. An (graded) algebra A is J-semisimple (Jacobson-semisimple) if every graded A-module is a direct sum of simple (graded) A-modules. It is well-known that if A is artinian, then the two concepts coincide for $\mathcal{A}$ being the category of finitely generated (graded) A-modules. Similar concepts hold for dg-modules instead of graded modules.

Remark 4.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category in which every object is projective. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is semisimple in the sense that every short exact sequence of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ splits.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (A, d) be a dg-algebra.*

- *[1, Proposition 3.3] If a dg-module (M, δ) over (A, d) is a projective object in the category of dg-modules, then (M, δ) is acyclic.*
- *[1, Theorem 4.7] If (A, d) is acyclic, then every dg-module over (A, d) is acyclic and the functor*

$$A \otimes_{\ker(d)} - : gr - \ker(d) - mod \longrightarrow dg - (A, d) - mod$$

  is an equivalence with quasi-inverse being the functor taking cycles.
- *[1, Definition 5.1 and Theorem 5.3] The category of dg-modules over (A, d) is J-semisimple if and only if (A, d) is acyclic and $\ker(d)$ is graded-J-semisimple.*

We consider consequences which can be derived for dg-separable dg-extensions of dg-algebras.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (A, d_A) is a dg-algebras over some graded commutative acyclic dg-division ring (K, d_K) and suppose that $\varphi : (K, d) \to (A, d_A)$ is a dg-separable dg-extension. Let (L, d_L) be a graded commutative dg-division ring being a dg-extension of (K, d_K).*

Then, any dg-module (M, δ_M) over $(A \otimes_K L, d_{A \otimes_K L})$ is a direct summand of $(A \otimes_K L, d_{A \otimes_K L})^I$ for some index set I. More precisely, I is a $\ker(d_L)$-basis of $\ker(\delta_M)$.

Proof.

$$\mu : A \otimes_K A \longrightarrow A$$

is split as dg-morphism by $\rho : A \longrightarrow A \otimes_K A$, satisfying $\mu \circ \rho = \mathrm{id}_A$. Then $\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_L$ is a split of

$$\mu_L : (A \otimes_K L) \otimes_L (A \otimes_K L) \longrightarrow (A \otimes_K L).$$

Indeed,

$$(A \otimes_K L) \otimes_L (A \otimes_K L) = (A \otimes_K A) \otimes_K L$$

and with this identification we get

$$(\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) \circ (\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}_L) = (\rho \circ \mu) \otimes \mathrm{id}_L = \mathrm{id}_A \otimes \mathrm{id}_L = \mathrm{id}_{A \otimes_K L}.$$

We therefore may assume that $K = L$ from the beginning.

Doing so

$$\mu \otimes_A \mathrm{id} : A \otimes_K M = A \otimes_K A \otimes_A M \longrightarrow A \otimes_A M = M$$

is split by $\rho \otimes \mathrm{id}$. Hence (M, δ_M) is a direct factor of $(A \otimes_K M, \delta_{A \otimes_K M})$.

We need to analyze $(A \otimes_K M, \delta_{A \otimes_K M})$.

Since (K, d_K) is an acyclic dg-division algebra, since (A, d_A) is a dg-module over (K, d_K), as well as (M, δ), we get that (A, d_A) and (M, δ_M) are acyclic (cf Theorem 4.3). Then

$$\Phi_K : K \otimes_{\ker(d_K)} - : \ker(d_K) - gr - mod \longrightarrow (K, d_K) - dg - mod$$

is an equivalence of categories with inverse the functor given by taking cycles. Hence, the unit $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \Phi_K \circ \Phi_K^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of functors. Moreover,

$$\Phi_A : A \otimes_{\ker(d_A)} - : \ker(d_A) - gr - mod \longrightarrow (A, d_A) - dg - mod$$

is an equivalence of categories. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \otimes_K M \quad &\simeq \quad A \otimes_K (\Phi_K \circ \Phi_K^{-1} M) \\
&= \quad A \otimes_K (K \otimes_{\ker(d_K)} \ker(\delta_M)) \\
&= \quad A \otimes_{\ker(d_K)} \ker(\delta_M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\ker(d_K)$ is a gr-field, by [9, Lemma 1.7] $\ker(\delta_M)$ has a $\ker(d_K)$-basis I of homogeneous elements. Hence, $A \otimes_K M = A^I$. ∎

Corollary 4.5. *Let (A, d_A) be an acyclic dg-algebras over some graded commutative acyclic dg-division ring (K, d_K) and suppose that $\varphi : (K, d) \to (A, d_A)$ is a dg-separable dg-extension. Then any dg-module over (A, d_A) is a projective object in the category of dg-modules over (A, d_A). Moreover, if (A, d_A) is dg-artinian, then the category of dg-modules over (A, d) is semisimple and $\ker(d_A)$ is graded-semisimple.*

Proof. Indeed, by Theorem 4.4 every dg-module over (A, d_A) is a direct factor of $(A, d_A)^I$ for some index set I. By Theorem 4.3, since (A, d_A) is assumed to be acyclic, (A, d_A) is a projective object in the category of dg-modules over (A, d_A). Remark 4.2 shows that this implies that the category of dg-modules over (A, d_A) is semisimple. By [17, Theorem 2.1] a dg-artinian acyclic dg-algebra is dg-Noetherian. For dg-artinian and dg-Noetherian algebras the concepts of semisimplicity and of J-semisimplicity coincides for finitely generated dg-modules. But by Theorem 4.3 we get that this implies that $\ker(d_A)$ is graded-semisimple. ∎

We can prove an analogue to [5, Proposition 1.3]. Recall that for $B \otimes_A B$-bimodules M_1 and M_2 we denote by M_1^B the subset of elements x in M_1 with $bx = xb$ for all $b \in B$, and likewise for M_2. Then for a homomorphism $\alpha : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ of $B \otimes_A B$-bimodules we get that $\alpha(M_1^B) \subseteq M_2^B$. Indeed,

$$b\alpha(x) = \alpha(bx) = \alpha(xb) = \alpha(x)b$$

for all $x \in M_1^B$ and $b \in B$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be dg-algebras over some graded-commutative dg-division ring (K, d_K) and suppose that $\varphi : (A, d_A) \longrightarrow (B, d_B)$ is a dg-separable dg-extension. Then any short exact sequence of dg-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow (L, \delta_L) \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} (M, \delta_M) \overset{g}{\longrightarrow} (N, \delta_N) \longrightarrow 0$$

over (B, d_B) is split if and only if it is split considered as a sequence of dg-modules over (A, d_A).

Proof. The space $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{(K, d_K)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M))$ is a dg-bimodule over $(B, d_B) - (B, d_B)^{op}$ given by $b_1 \otimes b_2$ acts on $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet_{(K, d_K)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M))$ by $((b_1 \otimes b_2) \cdot \Phi)(n) = b_1 \Phi(b_2 n)$.

Suppose that the sequence is split as dg-modules over (A, d_A). Let ρ be an (A, d_A)-splitting of the epimorphism g on the right. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B \otimes_A B &\xrightarrow{\sigma} \operatorname{Hom}^\bullet_{(K, d_K)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M)) \\
b_1 \otimes b_2 &\mapsto b_1 \rho b_2
\end{aligned}
$$

is a dg-$B \otimes_A B$-module homomorphism since ρ is A-linear. Let $\omega \in \ker(d_{B \otimes_A B})$ mapping to 1 under the multiplication μ, such that $b\omega = \omega b$ for all $b \in B$ from Proposition 2.3. But then we claim that

$$
\tau := \sigma(\omega) \in \operatorname{Hom}^\bullet_{(B, d_B)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M)).
$$

Indeed, σ is a homomorphism of $B - B$-bimodules, and hence

$$
\tau \in \left(\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet_{(K, d_K)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M))\right)^B
$$

by the remarks preceding the statement of Proposition 4.6. But

$$
\left(\operatorname{Hom}^\bullet_{(K, d_K)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M))\right)^B = \operatorname{Hom}^\bullet_{(B, d_B)}((N, \delta_N), (M, \delta_M)).
$$

Further, for all $n \in N$ we get

$$
(g \circ \tau)(n) = (g \circ \sigma(\omega))(n) = ((g \circ \sigma)(\omega))(n) = \mu(\omega) \cdot n = 1 \cdot n = n
$$

If the short exact sequence is split as sequence of dg-(B, d_B)- modules, then trivially it is split as sequence of (A, d_A)-modules as well. This proves the statement. ∎

Remark 4.7. Note that by Proposition 3.3 if K is a graded commutative $\mathbb{Z}$-graded-division ring, and (A, d) is a dg-division algebra, such that $(K, 0) \longrightarrow (A, d)$ is a dg-separable dg-extension, then the characteristic of K is 2 or A cannot be graded commutative.

Recall the concept of a separable functor introduced by Năstăsescu, van den Bergh, and van Oystaeyen [7].

Definition 4.8. [7] A *covariant functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is called *separable* if the canonical map $\Phi^F_{A,B} : \mathcal{C}(A, B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(FA, FB)$ is a naturally split monomorphism.

Proposition 4.9. *Let (A, d_A) and (B, d_B) be dg-algebras and let $\varphi : (A, d_A) \to (B, d_B)$ be a dg-extension. Then φ is dg-separable if and only if the restriction $dg-(B, d_B)-mod \to dg-(A, d_A)-mod$ is a separable functor.*

Proof. Suppose that the multiplication $B \otimes_A B \to B$ is split. Then the restriction is right adjoint to the induction $B \otimes_A -$ as is well-known (cf Yekutieli [11, 12.6.5]). The counit of the adjoint pair is the multiplication map $B \otimes_A B \to B$. By [10, 2.2.(ii)] this is equivalent with the fact that the restriction functor is separable. ∎

REFERENCES

[1] S. Tempest Aldrich and Juan Ramon Garcia Rozas, *Exact and Semisimple Differential Graded Algebras*, Communications in Algebra **30** (no 3) (2002) 1053-1075.

[2] Henri Cartan, *DGA-algèbres et DGA-modules,* Séminaire Henri Cartan, tome 7, no 1 (1954-1955), exp. no 2, p. 1-9.

[3] Lhousain El Fadil, *Galois extensions of graded rings*, Annales scienfifiques Mathématiques Québec **31** (2007) 155-163.

[4] Isambard Goodbody, *Reflecting perfection for finite dimensional differential graded algebras.* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **56** (12) (2024) 3689-3707.

[5] Burkhard Külshammer, *Nilpotent blocks revisited*, "Groups, Rings and Group Rings", pp. 263-274, Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.

[6] Constantin Năstăsescu and Fred van Oystaeyen, GRADED RING THEORY. North Holland 1982; Amsterdam

[7] Constantin Năstăsescu, Michel van den Bergh, and Fred van Oystaeyen, *Separable Functors Applied to Graded rings*, Journal of Algebra **123** (1989) 397-413.

[8] Dimitri Orlov, *Finite dimensional differential graded algebras and their geometric realisations*, Advances in Mathematics **366** (2020) 107096, 33 pp.

[9] Jan van Geel and Fred van Oystaeyen *About graded fields*, Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschapen Indagationes Mathematicae **43** (1981), no. 3, 273-286.

[10] Robert Wisbauer, *Separability in algebra and category theory.* Algebra and its applications, 265-305, De Gruyter Proc. Math., De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.

[11] Amnon Yekutieli, DERIVED CATEGORIES, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **183**, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, 2020.

[12] Alexander Zimmermann, REPRESENTATION THEORY: A HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA POINT OF VIEW. Springer Verlag, Cham, 2014.

[13] Alexander Zimmermann, *Differential graded orders, their class groups and idèles*, preprint December 30, 2022; 32 pages.

[14] Alexander Zimmermann, *Differential graded Brauer groups*, Revista de la Union Matematica Argentina **68** (no 1) (2025) 297-308.

[15] Alexander Zimmermann, *Ore Localisation for differential graded rings; Towards Goldie's theorem for differential graded algebras*, Journal of Algebra **663** (2025) 48-80.

[16] Alexander Zimmermann, *Differential graded division algebras, their modules, and dg-simple algebras*, to appear in Mathematica (Cluj university) `arxiv:2408.05550v3`.

[17] Alexander Zimmermann, *DG-Semiprimary DG-Algebras, Acyclicity and Hopkins-Levitzki Theorem for DG-Algebras,* to appear in Osaka Journal of Mathematics. `arxiv:2503.22493v1`

UNIVERSITÉ DE PICARDIE,
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET LAMFA (UMR 7352 DU CNRS),
33 RUE ST LEU,
F-80039 AMIENS CEDEX 1,
FRANCE
    Email address: `alexander.zimmermann@u-picardie.fr`
`https://orcid.org/orcid/0000-0001-8168-4624`