Matthew Antrobus pointed out an error in the above-mentioned paper, jointly written with Thierry Lambre and Guodong Zhou. On page 7 (arxiv version), i.e. beginning of Section 3 we see that the map

$$A_{\mathfrak{N}} \ni a \mapsto \langle -, a\rangle \in D(A)$$

is an isomorphism of bimodules. We want to dualise this map and get a morphism

$$DD(A) \longrightarrow D(A_{\mathfrak{N}}).$$

However, since A is assumed to be finite dimensional, we have $A \simeq DD(A)$ by the evaluation map. Hence

$$A \ni b \mapsto (a \mapsto \langle b, a\rangle) \in DDA$$

and the sides of the brackets are swapped. Dualising we get an isomorphism of bimodules

$$A \ni b \mapsto \langle b, -\rangle \in D(A_{\mathfrak{N}}).$$

Note that sides are swapped, but this is what we actually need.

This implies that in the formula for ∂^{-1} in the proof of Proposition 3.3 (line 11) the sides of the Frobenius form are swapped as well.

Université de Picardie,
Département de Mathématiques et LAMFA (UMR 7352 du CNRS),
33 rue St Leu,
F-80039 Amiens Cedex 1,
France
Email address: alexander.zimmermann@u-picardie.fr