

Smooth algebras : HH - II

17th Sept.

(1)

(I) As usual S/k flat, c. f. f.
 k comm. noth. ring

Last time: S/k smooth $\Leftrightarrow \mu: S^e \rightarrow S$ l.c.i.
 $\Rightarrow h^M: (\Lambda^* \Omega_{S/k}) \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\cong} HH_*(S/k, M)$

$\forall M$ symmetric

Also: S/k smooth \Rightarrow the S -module $\Omega_{S/k}$ is projective.

Always finitely generated.

Today: $h^S: \Lambda^*(\Omega_{S/k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} HH_*(S/k) \Rightarrow S/k$ smooth.

Hypothesis $\Rightarrow HH_i(S/k) = 0 \quad \forall i \gg 0$

[Ex: \forall any f.g. S -module $\Rightarrow \Lambda^i \omega = 0 \quad \forall i \gg 0$]

Theorem: S/k as before. If for some integers $i, j \gg 0$

$$HH_{2i}(S/k, S) = 0 = HH_{2j+1}(S/k, S)$$

then S/k is smooth.

Corollary: S/k smooth $\Leftrightarrow \text{projdim}_S S < \infty$.

(2)

$$- R \twoheadrightarrow S \quad \text{c.i.} \Rightarrow K(\gamma; R) \simeq S$$

for a suitable choice
of γ .

$$- \text{And } \text{projdim}_{R_P} M_P < \infty \quad \forall \quad P \in \text{Spec } R$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{projdim}_R M < \infty$$

[Here M is any f.g. R -module]
- x -

Corollary says S/k smooth \Leftrightarrow the diagonal
is compact.

The Theorem is optimal:

Example: $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(x^2-2)} =: S$. Then

$$HH_n(S/\mathbb{Z}; S) = \begin{cases} S & n=0 \\ 0 & n \geq 2 \text{ and even} \\ S/(2x) & n \geq 1 \text{ and odd.} \end{cases}$$

But:

Question: Say k is a field. Then if for some
 $n \geq 0$ $HH_n(S/k, S) = 0$, then S/k smooth.

(3)

- OK when $\text{char } k = 0$ + $S = k \oplus S_1 \oplus \dots$
graded, connected.

(Proof uses cyclic homology)

- Also when S/k is l.c.i.

Open in general.

The theorem itself is a special case of:

Theorem: $S \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\pi}$, S algebra retract

If for some integers $i, j \geq 0$ one has

$$\text{Tor}_{2i}^R(S, S) = 0 = \text{Tor}_{2j+1}^R(S, S), \text{ then } \pi \text{ is l.c.i.}$$

- The hypothesis localizes: $\forall \mathfrak{z} \in \text{Spec } S \subseteq \text{Spec } R$

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(S, S)_{\mathfrak{z}} \cong \text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{z}}}(S_{\mathfrak{z}}, S_{\mathfrak{z}})$$

And the conclusion is local. So we may

assume $R \rightarrow S$ is a map of local rings.

Key impact: Nakayama Lemma applies

- So $\text{rank}_L(M/m_S M) = \min. \# \text{ of generators of } M \text{ as an } S\text{-mod.}$
 $L = S/m_S$.

The proof goes through by explicitly constructing homology classes in $\text{Tor}^R(S, S)$ assuming S/R not c.i. This uses dg algebra resolution.

(II)

A a dg R -algebra

$$- A = \{A_i\}_{i \geq 0}$$

- A strictly graded-comm.

$$- \text{Say } H_0(A) = S$$

[For example, $A = K(x; R)$ where $S = R[x]$]

Pick $z \in A_n$ cycle (so $\partial z = 0$)

Will construct a dg algebra $A\langle z \rangle$

- again strictly graded-comm. R -algebra

with $A \hookrightarrow A\langle z \rangle$ inclusion of dg algebras i.e.

$$H_i(A) \rightarrow H_i(A\langle z \rangle)$$

- bijective $\forall i < n$

$$- \frac{H_n(A)}{[z] H_0(A)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(A\langle z \rangle).$$

(5)

To this problem precisely tells the class [7].

Case when n is even:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A\langle x \rangle &= A \otimes_R R\langle x \rangle \\ &\quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Exterior alg. on } x \\ |x| = n+1 \end{array} \right. \\ &= A \oplus Ax \quad \text{as dg } A\text{-module} \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial(x) = z$$

- Extend to all of $A\langle x \rangle$ by:

$$\partial(a + bx) = \partial^A(a) + \partial^A(b)x + (-1)^{|b|}bz$$

$$\text{Then } 0 \rightarrow A \hookrightarrow A\langle x \rangle \rightarrow Ax \rightarrow 0$$

Exact seq. of dg A -modules

$$(Ax)_i = A_{i-(n+1)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \leq n.$$

One gets:

$$\rightarrow H_{i+1}(Ax) \rightarrow H_i(A) \rightarrow H_i(A\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_i(Ax) \rightarrow$$

For $i \leq n-1$ one gets $H_{i+1}(Ax) = 0 = H_i(Ax)$

$$\text{so } H_i(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_i(A\langle x \rangle)$$

(6)

$i = n$ one gets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H(A_2) & \longrightarrow & H_1(A) & \longrightarrow & H_n(A\langle x \rangle) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \quad \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \\ H_0(A) & \searrow & & & & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{[x]} & & & & \end{array}$$

$$\therefore H_n(A\langle x \rangle) \cong H_n(A) / [x] H_0(A)$$

Case when n is odd:

$$\text{Then } A\langle x \rangle = A \otimes_R R\langle x \rangle \quad |x| = n+1$$

\uparrow
 free divided powers alg.
 on x

$$R\langle x \rangle = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R x^{(i)}$$

$$x^{(i)} \cdot x^{(j)} = x^{(i+j)} \frac{(i+j)!}{i!j!}$$

Check $R\langle x \rangle$ strictly graded-comm. R-alg.

So the same is true of $A\langle x \rangle$

As an A -module $A\langle x \rangle = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A x^{(i)}$

- Introduce diff. by extending that on A

(7)

And thing $\partial(x^{(i)}) = i x^{(i-1)} \quad \forall i \geq 0$
 $(x^{(0)} = 1 \text{ so } d(x^{(0)}) = 0)$

[If $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{R}\langle x \rangle \cong \mathcal{R}[x]$
 $x^{(i)} = \frac{x^i}{i!}$]

Again

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A\langle x \rangle \xrightarrow{\partial} A\langle x \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

$$\partial(\sum a_i x^{(i)}) = \sum a_i x^{(i-1)}$$

exact seq. of dg A -mod. ℓ .

Exercise: $H_i(A) \rightarrow H_i(A\langle x \rangle)$ iso $\forall i \leq n-1$

$$\frac{H_n(A)}{[x]H_n(A)} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(A\langle x \rangle)$$

- x -

Back to $R \twoheadrightarrow S = R/(r_1, \dots, r_c)$

Pick r_1, \dots, r_c min. gen. set for $\ker(R \twoheadrightarrow S)$

- Start with $A = R$ and kill r_1, \dots, r_c

(8)

$$R \langle x_1 \mid \partial x_1 = r_1 \rangle$$

$$H_0(A \langle x_1 \rangle) = R / r_1 R$$

$$\text{"}$$

$$K(r_1; R)$$

$$\text{Then } R \langle x_1, x_2 \mid \partial x_1 = r_1, \partial x_2 = r_2 \rangle$$

$$\text{"}$$

$$K(r_1, r_2; R)$$

$$\text{Finally we get } R \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_c \mid \partial x_i = r_i \rangle$$

$$\text{"}$$

$$\text{Koszul (x. } K(r; R)$$

$$\text{Set } X_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_c\}$$

Suppose T_0 is not c.i.

$$\equiv H_1(R \langle X_1 \rangle) \neq 0$$

Pick cycles z_1, \dots, z_s in $R \langle X_1 \rangle_1$

s.t. the clan $[z_1], \dots, [z_s]$ minimally

generate the $H_0(R \langle X_1 \rangle) = S$ module

$$H_1(R \langle X_1 \rangle).$$

Construct, step by step,

$$R \langle X_1, y_1, \dots, y_s \mid \partial y_i = z_i \rangle$$

(9)

Then $R \hookrightarrow R \langle X_{\leq 2} \rangle$ *1 killing*

$$X_2 = \{y_2 \rightarrow y_1\} \quad H_0(R \langle X_{\leq 2} \rangle) \cong S$$

$$H_1(R \langle X_{\leq 2} \rangle) = 0$$

Now continue by killing cycles in higher degrees

We get a chain:

$$R \subseteq R \langle X_1 \rangle \subseteq R \langle X_{\leq 2} \rangle \subseteq \dots \subseteq R \langle X_{\leq n} \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

- has homology S in diso
- no homology in degrees $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

Set $R \langle x \rangle := \bigcup R \langle X_{\leq n} \rangle$

- strictly graded-comm. R -algebra

with $H_0(R \langle x \rangle) = S$

Tate resolution of S

- By choose minimal generators to kill at each step, we set

$$\partial x \subseteq \sum_R R \langle x \rangle + x^{(2)}$$

?
quadratic part

This is the **cyclic closure** of S/R .

This is rarely minimal.

Example: $k[x, y] \twoheadrightarrow \underline{k[x, y]}$
 (x^2, xy, y^2)

III

Thm: If $R \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ has a section, i.e.

a map $i: S \rightarrow R$ of rings s.t. $\pi \circ i = \text{id}$

then the cyclic closure $R\langle x \rangle \simeq S$ is minimal i.e. $\partial R\langle x \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_R R\langle x \rangle$.

- Key result. Proof is not easy.

- Suppose $\pi: R \twoheadrightarrow S$ has a section. But

π is not c.i.

Let $R\langle x \rangle \simeq S$ be an cyclic closure.

$X_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ($x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$)

Pick $y \in X_2$ (exists because π is not c.i.)

(11)

$$\text{Then } 2y \in \sum_{i=1}^c r_i x_i \quad r_i \in R$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}(S, S) &= H_1(R(x) \otimes_R S) \\ &= H_1(S(x)) \quad \text{and } \partial S(x) \subseteq \pi_S S(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{In } S(x) \quad \partial(x_i) = x_i = 0$$

$$\text{Set } \omega_{2n+c} = y^{(n)} x_2 \cdots x_c \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

$$\text{Check: } \partial(\omega_{2n+c}) = 0 \quad \text{in } S(x)$$

So ω_{2n+c} is a cycle.

$$\text{But } \omega_{2n+c} \notin \pi_S S(x)$$

So cannot be in $\partial(S(x))$

$$\therefore [\omega_{2n+c}] \neq 0 \quad \text{in } H_{2n+c}(S(x))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\text{Tor}(S, S)_{2n+c}$$

So $\pi_c: R \rightarrow S$ not c.i.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tor}(S, S)_R \neq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

Also $\text{Tor}_i(S, S) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq c$ (check)

This is the contrapositive of the desired statement.
 - x -

Back to $HH_{2i}(S/k) = 0 \Rightarrow HH_{2j+1}(S/k) \Rightarrow S/k \text{ smooth}$.

There is also a cohomological version:

If \exists integers $u, v \geq 0$ of different parity such that

$$HH^{u+i}(S/k) = 0 \Rightarrow HH^{v+i}(S/k)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, \dim S$

Then S/k smooth.

- Proof is by an application of local duality.

Again: When $K: k$ a field, is $HH^n(S/k) = 0$ for some $n \geq 0 \Rightarrow S/k$ smooth?

One more aspect: S/k smooth $\Rightarrow HH_*(S/k) \cong \bigwedge^* \Omega_{S/k}$ to a f.g. \mathcal{L} -algebra. Conversely, if the \mathcal{L} -algebra $HH_*(S/k)$ is f.g., then S/k is smooth.

