

Errata for "Derived Equivalences for Group Rings"

- page 36, line 4,5: The category $add(T)$ denotes the full subcategory formed by direct summands of finite direct sums of T . In case the underlying category is a Krull-Schmidt category, then this is the same as the full subcategory formed by direct sums of finite direct summands of T . An example when this is not the case could be given by $\mathcal{A} = R - mod$ where $R = algint(K)$ and K is an algebraic number field with non trivial class number. Then, take a non principal ideal I in R . Since the class number is finite, there is an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $I^n \simeq R^n$, but since I is not principal, $R \not\simeq I$, and moreover, the rank one free module is indecomposable.

We thank Jacques Thévenaz for pointing out this mistake.

- page 58 line -14: $K_0(A)$ is not defined as a subgroup of $G_0(A)$. A proper definition is the following: $K_0(A)$ is the quotient of the free abelian group on isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective A -modules modulo all expressions of the type $[P \oplus Q] - [P] - [Q]$.
- page 60 line 9: $\dots[P/rad P] \mapsto dim_k(End_\Lambda(P/rad P))[P/rad P]$.
- page 72 item 3a) of Definition 4.4.2: $KA_i \simeq KA\eta_i$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.
- page 76 line 15 ff; in the proof of Clam 4.4.7 there is an argument missing: By [134, Theorem 12.8] there is a unique maximal order M in the skew field $D = End_{K\Lambda}(KP_1)$. Since

$$\Omega \leq a^{-1}\Omega a \leq a^{-2}\Omega a^2 \leq \dots \leq a^{-m}\Omega a^m \leq \dots$$

and each of the orders $a^{-m}\Omega a^m$ are included in a maximal order, they are all included in the unique maximal order M . This maximal order M is a finitely generated R -module, hence noetherian as R -module, and therefore there is an n_0 so that

$$a^{-m}\Omega a^m = a^{-n_0}\Omega a^{n_0} = a^{-n_0-1}\Omega a^{n_0+1}$$

for all $m \geq n_0$. Conjugating back with a^{n_0} , we get $\Omega = a^{-1}\Omega a$.

- Corollary 5.2.14: The correct statement is: Let G be a finite group and let R be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 2$. Let B be a block of RG with dihedral defect group D . If there are exactly three non isomorphic simple modules in B and its Brauer correspondent b in $RN_G(D)$, then b and B are derived equivalent.

We thank Frauke Bleher for pointing out this mistake to us. The group $PSL_2(17)$ is an example where the Brauer correspondent does not have the same number of simples as the principal block.

- page 107, line 11: 'for all' instead of 'pour tout'

- page 238, line -8: the paper appeared in Communications in Algebra, 26 no 3, (1998), 681-712.

We thank S. Koshitani for pointing out this mistake.

- page 241, line 1: The manuscript [141] become a joint paper with Marc Cabanes: Alvis Curtis duality as an equivalence of derived categories, Proceedings of a conference held in Charlottesville/Virginia (1998).